Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation
(\textit{Part A MUST also be completed})

\textbf{Name or Organisation :}

3. To which matter does this representation relate?

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textbf{i.} Main Modification to the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management
  
  \begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|}
    \hline
    Main Modification Ref. (i.e. MM1) & MM29 & Local Plan Part 2: Para. or Policy number \textbf{TOT11} \\
    \hline
  \end{tabular}

  OR

  \item \textbf{ii.} The draft Mitigation Strategy Supplementary Planning Document

  Draft Mitigation Strategy Paragraph No. or Project Reference

  OR

  \item \textbf{iii.} If you wish to comment on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan tick here.

\end{itemize}

If commenting on a modification to the Local Plan Part 2 (including the revised Habitats Regulations Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal) please fill in sections 4 – 9.
If commenting on the draft Mitigation Strategy, please fill in section 10.
If commenting on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, please fill in section 11.

4. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is :

\begin{itemize}
  \item 4.(1) Legally compliant \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Yes} \hspace{1cm} \checkmark \hspace{1cm} \text{No}
  \item 4.(2) Sound* \hspace{1cm} \textbf{Yes} \hspace{1cm} \checkmark \hspace{1cm} \text{No}
\end{itemize}

\textit{(* The considerations in relation to the DPD being ‘Sound’ are explained in the National Planning Policy Framework)}

\textit{If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Qu 5. In all other circumstances, please go to Qu 6.}

5. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is \textbf{unsound} because it is not:

\begin{itemize}
  \item (1) Justified
  \item (2) Effective
  \item (3) Consistent with national policy
\end{itemize}

6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Part 2 (as proposed to be modified by the Main Modifications) is not legally compliant or is unsound on this matter.
If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management, please also use this box to set out your comments.

We have noted that the TOT11 policy has been amended to specify that the southern part of the site
will be a mixed use scheme incorporating residential development.

As you have stated there are flood risk issues on the site, as a result the sequential approach should be applied on the site directing development to the lowest flood risk areas wherever possible.

We specifically support the section of the policy that lays out the requirement for a flood risk assessment for development proposals on the site. Please note that as well as assessing the risk, any development will need to demonstrate through a flood risk assessment that it is safe, as per Policy CS6 in your adopted Core Strategy.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Part 2 legally compliant or sound on this matter, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of the relevant policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

8. If your representation is seeking a change to the Local Plan Part 2, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

[ ] No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination  [ ] Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.
Comments on other documents, for consideration by NFDC.

10. Please state here your comments on the draft Mitigation Strategy.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

11. Please state here your comments on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)

Signature:  

Verity Giles  

Date:  

13/11/2013  

Representations should be posted to:  
Policy and Plans Team  
New Forest District Council  
Appletree Court  
Beaulieu Road  
Lyndhurst  
Hampshire  
SO43 7PA

Or e-mailed to: sdmrepresentations@nfdc.gov.uk

Representations should be received by no. later than 5pm on 15th November 2013.