Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management
Main Modifications and draft Mitigation Strategy
Representation Form

Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:
New Forest District
(outside the National Park)
Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management

Please return to New Forest District Council by 15 November 2013

Please note that your representation will be made available for public viewing at Appletree Court, Lyndhurst and via the Council’s website. (Personal information such as signatures and telephone numbers will not be published on the website).

There are two sections –
Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your representation(s).

Please fill in Part A, and a separate Part B for each representation you wish to make.

Part A

1. Personal Details**
   * Mandatory field
   **If an agent is appointed, please complete the Title, Name and Organisation boxes for your client in 1, plus the full contact details of the agent in 2.
   If you have previously made representations on this Plan, please give your representee ref. here:

Title
Mrs

First Name
SARA

Last Name*
SCOLES

Job Title
(where relevant)

Organisation
(where relevant)

Address Line 1*
12 MOORE CLOSE

Line 2
NEW MILTON

Line 3

Line 4

Post Code*
BH25 6QX

Telephone Number

E-mail Address
| **B** | **Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management**  
Main Modifications Representation Form | **Ref:**  
608MM_a  
(For official use only) |

Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation
*(Part A MUST also be completed)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Name or Organisation:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. To which matter does this representation relate?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
i. Main Modification Ref.
   (i.e. MM1)

OR

ii. The draft Mitigation Strategy Supplement Planning Document

   Draft Mitigation Strategy
   Paragraph No.
   or Project Reference

OR

iii. If you wish to comment on the Infrastructure Delivery
If commenting on a modification to the Local Plan Part 2 (including the revised Habitats Regulations Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal) please fill in sections 4 – 9.
If commenting on the draft Mitigation Strategy, please fill in section 10.
If commenting on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, please fill in section 11.

4. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>4.(1) Legally compliant</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.(2) Sound*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*The considerations in relation to the DPD being ‘Sound’ are explained in the National Planning Policy Framework)

If you have entered No to 4.(2), please continue to Qu 5. In all other circumstances, please go to Qu 6.

5. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is unsound because it is not:

(1) Justified x
(2) Effective x
(3) Consistent with national policy

6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Part 2 (as proposed to be modified by the Main Modifications) is not legally compliant or is unsound on this matter. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management, please also use this box to set out your comments.

MM70 4.102
Having considered the proposals I would like to make the following comments:
The Residents of Moore Close will be hugely impacted. At the moment there is insufficient parking for residents in the street. Overflow using the public left and right hand side of the close. This already at times makes the drive out of the close a slalom. Building on the site would impact two fold, firstly by at least doubling the amount of cars which would need parking in the small area and secondly for rights of way to the new properties one if not two sections of the road where cars are now parked will now be lost. The impact of this will be huge especially as there is no nearby...
streets or alternative parking facilities. How would emergency vehicles attend any of the residents on Moore Close at the moment that would already propose difficulties. There are also problems in access onto Lymington Road due to visibility (blockage from lorries delivering to One Stop and Jewson), the same lorries using Moore Close for a turning place, speeding and general congestion.

Doubling the traffic accessing Lymington road from Moore Close will flag up huge safety issues and will undoubtedly increase the risk of accident.

Community implications are also great. Currently the field adjacent to the proposed site is home to the Lions firework display, the circus, the fair, an annual religious festival as well as the community run Common Ground music festival (from which the local community benefits financially). The proximity of the site to this field would surely cause unacceptable noise as well as health and safety issues for potential residents. If these events were stopped the whole community would loose out.

The proposed site is adjacent to conservation land and itself hosts home to a large population of wildlife. This year alone I have seen lizards, newts, pheasants, foxes, hedgehogs and a large number of birds at home there. It is said that the hedges and boundaries would be retained where possible, but I cannot see how this will be done with such a number of homes being build in such a small area, at least ⅔ of the boundary would be lost.

When the site was originally proposed (and declined due to unsuitability) it was for up to ten new homes. Now the proposal is for up to 15 ..with the need for a footpath, parking and a natural play area as well as an access road/s from Moore Close I cannot see how this area is big enough to contain these properties.

It is my view that this area is entirely unsuitable for the purpose proposed. A smaller development with its own access on to Lymington Road would at best be a poor consideration. But with possible loss to the general community and safety on Lymington road, I feel there should be alternative area found which would offer larger a larger site with less implications on wildlife, present residents and the community.
7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Part 2 legally compliant or sound on this matter, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of the relevant policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

8. If your representation is seeking a change to the Local Plan Part 2, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

- [X] No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination
- [ ] Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:
Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to Comments on other documents, for consideration by NFDC.

10. Please state here your comments on the draft Mitigation Strategy.

11. Please state here your comments on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Signature: Sara v scoles Date: 11.11.13
Representations should be posted to: Policy and Plans Team New Forest District Council Appletree Court Beaulieu Road Lyndhurst Hampshire SO43 7PA

Or e-mailed to: sdmrepresentations@nfdc.gov.uk

Representations should be received by no. later than 5pm on 15th November 2013.