**Name of the DPD to which this representation relates:**

New Forest District (outside the National Park)
Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management

Please return to New Forest District Council by **15 November 2013**

Please note that your representation will be made available for public viewing at Appletree Court, Lyndhurst and via the Council’s website. (Personal information such as signatures and telephone numbers will not be published on the website).

There are two sections –
Part A – Personal Details
Part B – Your representation(s).

**Please fill in Part A, and a separate Part B for each representation you wish to make.**

### Part A

| **1. Personal Details** **|** **2. Agent’s Details (if applicable)** |
| **Title** | **MRS** |
| **First Name** | KEELY |
| **Last Name** | GOOFLAD-VAN DAM |
| **Job Title** |  |
| **Organisation** |  |
| **Address Line 1** | 4 MOORE CLOSE |
| **Line 2** | NEW MILTON |
| **Line 3** |  |
| **Line 4** |  |
| **Post Code** | BH25 6QX |
| **Telephone Number** |  |
| **E-mail Address** |  |

(If an agent is appointed, please complete the Title, Name and Organisation boxes for your client in 1, plus the full contact details of the agent in 2. If you have previously made representations on this Plan, please give your representee ref. here: )
Part B – Please use a separate sheet for each representation
(\textit{Part A MUST also be completed})

Name or Organisation:

3. To which matter does this representation relate?

\begin{itemize}
  \item[i.] Main Modification to the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|}
  \hline
  Main Modification Ref. (i.e. MM1) & Local Plan Part 2: Para. or Policy number & Policy NMT1a \\
  \hline
  \end{tabular}

\item[ii.] The draft Mitigation Strategy Supplementary Planning Document

\begin{tabular}{|c|}
  \hline
  Draft Mitigation Strategy Paragraph No. or Project Reference \\
  \hline
  \end{tabular}

\item[iii.] If you wish to comment on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan tick here.

If commenting on a modification to the Local Plan Part 2 (including the revised Habitats Regulations Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal) please fill in sections 4 – 9.
If commenting on the draft Mitigation Strategy, please fill in section 10.
If commenting on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan, please fill in section 11.

4. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is:

\begin{itemize}
  \item[4.(1)] Legally compliant Yes \ \ ? \ \ No \ \ ?
  \item[4.(2)] Sound* Yes \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ No \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ X
\end{itemize}

(*) \textit{The considerations in relation to the DPD being ‘Sound’ are explained in the National Planning Policy Framework}

If you have entered \textbf{No} to 4.(2), please continue to Qu 5. In all other circumstances, please go to Qu 6.

5. On this matter, do you consider the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management is \textbf{unsound} because it is not:

\begin{itemize}
  \item[1.] Justified X
  \item[2.] Effective X
  \item[3.] Consistent with national policy ?
\end{itemize}
6. Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan Part 2 (as proposed to be modified by the Main Modifications) is not legally compliant or is unsound on this matter. If you wish to support the legal compliance or soundness of the Local Plan Part 2: Sites and Development Management, please also use this box to set out your comments.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>With regard to the above application, I am familiar with the site (land to the west of Moore Close, New Milton) as my property is situated directly opposite. I wish to object strongly to the development of these properties in this location, as in my opinion this site is unsuitable for housing development due to the following reasons:-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>Greenbelt – the proposed development involves amending the green belt and this will result in a loss of public amenity, destruction of wildlife habitat, increased light and noise pollution.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>Road traffic safety – the volume of traffic travelling in both directions on the A337 is so heavy as to have justified the installation of a pedestrian operated traffic light crossing 50m to the east of Moore Close in recent years. The resultant traffic restrictions have caused further congestion and reduced visibility due to delivery vehicles stopping in the highway to service both the plumbers and builders merchants to the north of the A337 and the shops to the south. Coupled with vehicles accelerating as they leave 30 limit heading west or still travelling at speed as the enter the 30 limit zone travelling east, access and egress from Moore Close has become increasing unsafe as a result thereof. The proposed development will more than double the number of vehicles using this junction and coupled with a limited parking provision in Moore Close already, this is simply an accident waiting to happen.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>Poor parking provision – the 13 existing properties in Moore Close, each have 1 allocated garage and sharing 8 undesignated off street parking places between them. This is insufficient for modern lifestyles and currently there are on average 12 vehicles parked either overnight on Moore Close or obstructing access to and from the garages. As the proposed development is only likely to provide the legally required minimum number of parking spaces required congestion and parking will deteriorate further. Existing properties in Moore Close are restricted by covenant to maintain lawns and flowers beds to the street frontage of the properties so alternative off street parking cannot be created in this manner. Further parking and traffic congestion cannot be excluded from users of the proposed public amenity space and play space users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>Flooding – due to increased storm water run off, the proposed development is likely to worsen the flooding which occurs on the A337 between Moore Close and Manor Farm Close after heavy storms, sadly climate change is causing these to be more frequent and severe in occurrence and a volumes of water more than double currently levels entering the sewer is likely to result in damage to properties adjacent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>Overdevelopment – The number of properties proposed creates serious concerns in my mind with respect to a loss of privacy due to overlooking, loss of light particularly in the later part of the day as the sun moves lower in the sky. The spatial gap between the existing properties and proposed will be limited and completely out of character with presently an open and well spaced street scene. The presence of a very large protected tree at the southern end of the site reduces the area for the proposed properties to be sited and it is therefore inevitable that these will be far denser in placement than existing. These factors combine to create a serious negative impact upon the existing residences.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Local Infrastructure – By nature of the type of housing proposed, concerns are raised as to the impact that future residents of the proposed housing make on local services. I am sure that affordable housing is likely to appeal to families and I know from experience that our local primary school, New Milton Junior, is very limited and my own daughter currently attends school out of catchment due to this being an historic and ongoing problem.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Whilst I appreciate that points 2 and 3 are the concern of the Highways authority and point 4 that of the Environment Agency they do impact negatively on this proposed development and should be taken into consideration when a decision is made.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan Part 2 legally compliant or sound on this matter, having regard to the test you have identified at 5 above where this relates to soundness. You will need to say why this change will make the Plan legally compliant or sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of the relevant policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I consider it necessary for the text proposing that the site (ref. NMT1a Land west of Moore Close) be included in the modified plan is removed as in my opinion the site is not suitable for housing development.</td>
<td>(Continue on a separate sheet / expand box if necessary)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
8. If your representation is seeking a change to the Local Plan Part 2, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination  
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

I would wish to be present at an oral examination to state my objection to this proposed development and to that there is full transparency of any proposal for the site.

Please note the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Comments on other documents, for consideration by NFDC.

10. Please state here your comments on the draft Mitigation Strategy.

Information has not been provided

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

11. Please state here your comments on the Infrastructure Delivery Plan.

Information has not been provided

(Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
Representations should be posted to: Policy and Plans Team New Forest District Council Appletree Court Beaulieu Road Lyndhurst Hampshire SO43 7PA

Or e-mailed to: sdmrepresentations@nfdc.gov.uk

Representations should be received by no. later than 5pm on 15th November 2013.