

## Responses to Sites and Development Management Consultation Document and Associated Background Papers

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
766g	Esso Petroleum	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This would bring substantial development across the Fawley Road and would be an intrusion into the green land which currently forms a buffer between Hythe and the oil refinery. We think that this development could open up the entire road frontage to arguments for further urbanisation.
826j	Southern Water	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>There is insufficient capacity in the local sewerage system to accommodate the development proposed at Forest Lodge Farm. This means that new and/or improved sewerage infrastructure is required before the additional flows from the development can be accommodated.</p> <p>Ofwat, the water industry's economic regulator, takes the view that enhancements required to the local sewerage system as a result of new development should be paid for by the development. This ensures that the cost is passed to those who directly benefit from it, and protects existing customers who would otherwise have to pay through increased general charges.</p> <p>Connection off-site to the nearest point of adequate capacity is the formal mechanism by which developers should provide the infrastructure required to serve their sites. However, Southern Water has limited powers to enforce this, especially where new development is proposed on previously developed land.</p> <p>We therefore look to the planning authority to support provision of off-site infrastructure by the development through planning policies and appropriate planning conditions. To this end, policy HYD1 should include a clause to require the developer to connect to the sewerage system at the nearest point of adequate capacity.</p> <p>We propose the following additional bullet point to policy HYD1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Provision of off-site sewerage infrastructure by the development to facilitate connection to the system at the nearest point of adequate capacity.</li> </ul> <p>In addition, existing water mains cross the development site. The layout of the site must ensure that this infrastructure is not built over, so that it can be accessed for future maintenance and upsizing. An easement of width between 6 and 13 metres will be required, depending on pipe size and depth. This should be clear of all proposed buildings and substantial tree planting. Alternatively, diversion of the infrastructure may be possible at the developer's expense, subject to a feasible alternative route being available.</p> <p>Policy HYD1 should include a clause to recognise the need to protect the existing infrastructure and ensure access for future upgrading and maintenance work.</p> <p>We propose the following additional bullet point to Policy HYD1:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Necessary easements or diversions to protect existing underground water mains.</li> </ul>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
316a	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Objects.</p> <p>The Council has concerns over the proximity of this site to the possible gravel extraction site which could be located on the adjacent land. The Parish Council does not support the gravel extraction but if it goes ahead it will have a detrimental effect on the residents of any new housing development on this land.</p> <p>The land has always been seen as a greenfield buffer between Hythe and Fawley parishes.</p>
692t	Hampshire CC - Env't Dept	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>As Minerals and Waste Authority, the County Council notes that land located approximately 200m south east of this site has been identified as a suggested mineral extraction site in the public consultation document "Have Your Say on Planning for Hampshire's Minerals and Waste". As such, any development of site HYD1 should have due regard to this potential future use.</p>
912a	Cox M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
832b	Penny J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>In order to achieve a comprehensive scheme additional land to the east, at Forest Lodge Farm, should be included, (identified on the attached plan JP-1 outlined in red).</p> <p>The inclusion of this land would allow for:</p> <p>A safer access utilising and incorporating the existing access to Forest Lodge Farm from the Fawley Road, than those proposed in the draft Sustainability Appraisal Annex 2 Background Paper 40 page 182 as:</p> <p>Access onto Frost Lane through the existing Vet's access would compromise both the Vets and the proposed development.</p> <p>Direct access onto Frost Lane would mean the intrusion into the TPO'd woodland and removal of trees contrary to Objective 10, of the draft Sustainability Appraisal Annex 2 Background Paper 40 page 184, which states: "There are a number of protected trees on the northern and eastern boundaries of the site, which should be retained."</p> <p>Access onto Fawley Road, as suggested in Objective 1 would have to be situated in an area close to the busy staggered road junction at Butts Ash with Frost Lane as the road frontage to the South is an unbroken line of mature trees which extend into the site. Whilst not at present "protected". These trees will need to be retained in order to mitigate the negative effects of the development upon the character of the countryside and upon the locally important views from Fawley Road as identified in Objective 10.</p> <p>Thus preventing this area of road frontage (approximately 50% of the total) becoming utilised for vehicular access without tree loss.</p> <p>Provide additional space within the development to accommodate the requirements of such a scheme, without compromising the density and required amenity space.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
						<p>Potential to provide space to enable provision of 'on-site' formal open space rather than the need to locate this 'offsite'.</p> <p>Remove the need for an additional site at HYD2 Land adjoining Frost Lane, as all of the required houses for the identified local need could be accommodated within the proposed and extended HYD1 site. This would remove the need for further piecemeal development at site HYD2 which is significantly constrained as acknowledged in the draft Sustainability Appraisal Annex 2 Background Paper 40, page 171.</p> <p>When reviewing the built up area boundaries of Hythe, as indicated on Map DM8-HD4 of Appendix 4, the Council should include land to the east of HYD1 to enable the proper and comprehensive planning of this area. I now refer to Objective 6 of the draft Sustainability Appraisal Annex 2 Background Paper 40 page 183 and the comment concerning the site HYD1 having the potential use as "backup grazing land".</p> <p>Policy CP17 of the Adopted New Forest National Park Core Strategy Document December 2010, no longer requires adjacent land outside the New Forest National Park to be made available for the use of "Backup grazing".</p>
24b	Edwards K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
78a	Stride P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
219b	Penny K D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Not suitable to continue development towards Holbury Place due to the traffic and living conditions. I believe would be a detriment to the residents that live in the area.
233b	Coppack E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Very important to have safe access for vehicles and pedestrians as Fawley Road is very busy. The junction with Frost Lane, Fawley Road, Butts Ash Lane is dangerous - frequent collisions there. Forts Lane is narrow and in a poor condition.</p> <p>Housing density of 50 is likely to lead to a cramped development with insufficient green space.</p>
237b	Howlett C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This area is suitable for extension of housing, close to a busy road etc.
244a	Monger S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		More housing will only contribute to the all ready problem of overcrowding.
245a	Askham P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I believe that this site is not appropriate for development given the geographical contours. It would add undue traffic pressure at the Frost Lane, Fawley Road and Butts Ash Lane junction, which is already very busy throughout the day.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
246c	Wade A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	If I had to support one of the Hythe proposals I would support this. However, I am not sure about overdevelopment and access to the site. Also, the main road will need to be made safer due to families hoping to move into this area.
305a	Codman R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Frost Lane will not be able to cope with the additional traffic this development would cause. It already is in trouble due to the increase in HGV lorries being directed down this road to the Marine Industrial Estate recently opened, very busy and dangerous, speed is a concern too, it is a country lane. The development would also take away the country look and feel of the area. The drainage also goes through the old ESSO estate and was not built for that type of increase.
307a	Butts Ash Community Association	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I feel that this development is on the wrong side of the Fawley Rd for safety and convenience. There are no facilities on the East side of the road and joining the Fawley Road especially to turn right to the schools and shops in Hythe and Dibden Purlieu is difficult and adds to traffic risk. Pedestrians especially children wanting to go to the Cost Cutter shop, St Anne's Neighbourhood centre and the skate park and potential youth centre will have to cross the road which is 40mph at this point without any protection. It would seem to me much more preferable to move this development to the space beyond the Blackfield and Langley club where access is much better for traffic and the facilities that do exist can be reached without crossing a main road.
309a	Bray D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		We were assured that this was a strategic green area gap and that planning permission could never be granted as it was now a protected area. We were refused an entrance to our property as we were told they did not see the road safe to have two entrances onto Fawley Road as there was already too much traffic on this road. Insufficient the infrastructure is at trying to cope with the current population in the area, such as the levels of unemployment, amenities, schools and health services etc., let alone opportunities for not only the young but anyone trying to find work in this area in the coming years. Should this ridiculous proposal materialise, we will legally fight this proposal and look for substantial compensation.
374a	Downer F	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		50 houses could be built here and it is near bus stops and schools close. Much better.
435a	Hewett P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		1. The proposed site is adjacent to a conservation area enjoyed by local people - any further development would greatly disturb the ecology/wildlife, in and around it. 2. I understand that the site is adjacent to, and part of, land of a historical and archaeological importance to Hythe - land which may be studied in greater detail in the future.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
						<p>3. The green area bordering Fawley Road, offsets, to a degree, the environmental and aesthetic damage caused by nearby industrial development, e.g. refinery.</p> <p>4. Local residents (from Netley View - Frost Lane, including those in Fawley Road and Butts Ash Lane) and other passers by actually like the green spaces and see the proposed development as detrimental to the character of the area which is already overdeveloped.</p> <p>5. Access from Fawley Road and Frost Lane would add to the present congestion, adversely affect traffic flow and present difficult, if not dangerous conditions for local residents.</p>
436a	Hewett S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>1. The general area has been heavily overdeveloped in the past and this is one of the few remaining areas separating Hythe from Holbury/Fawley.</p> <p>2. This area adjoins a conservation area used by local schools - development would have a detrimental effect.</p> <p>3. The open space and extended views are generally enjoyed by all local residents.</p> <p>4. Increased traffic and pollution would discourage children walking to school - surely against stated policies.</p> <p>5. The land has, as previously pointed out with other proposals, historic and archaeological value.</p>
569a	Seadown Veterinary Practice	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The Partners of the Seadown Veterinary Practice are of the view that extension to the existing site is the most practicable solution to their expansion needs, The Partners are now of the view (which differs from a previous view expressed) that expansion of the Practice would be best achieved from their business point of view by an expansion southwards on land immediately adjacent to the existing buildings , as part of a mixed use development.</p> <p>The Partners still support the proposed mixed use development but wish to see a reservation for the expansion of the practice buildings on land to the south of the existing buildings. The extent of the reservation is approximately 3000 sq m (0.75 acres).</p> <p>The Partners consider that without this reservation within the Mixed Use development, the equestrian facilities on site will not be to a standard that will allow continued equestrian veterinarian care being provided from this site and would require relocation. That is not a viable proposition, even if the surplus land on the existing site were able to be sold for residential development.</p> <p>The Partners maintain that without the reservation of land for expansion, the continued provision of veterinary services from this site will be significantly impaired.</p> <p>There is a need for specific reservation of land in an identified area since if residential development is allowed to proceed without the reservation, there is a real prospect that the occupiers of the residential units developed on the site will object to the expansion of the practice accommodation, either in the form required for equestrian use, or at all.</p> <p>Prior to the recent changes in designation of the land adjacent to the practice accommodation, the area was</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
						<p>Strategic Gap in which such development would have been possible without significant restriction. The partners wish to maintain that position.</p> <p>The reservation of the land to the south of the practice will allow the release of land to the east of the practice and allow access to be formed from Frost Lane to serve the practice and the development. It is envisaged that the existing access from Frost Lane would be closed and the access to the car parking would be taken from the newly constructed road.</p> <p>This road would also serve the equestrian facilities to be formed on the south of the site and thereby allow the efficient separation of the equine facilities from the small animal facilities, but with a common access. The partners consider that this will improve services provided to the local community and allow further expansion of the small animal services that are increasingly under pressure within the existing buildings and will allow the car parking to serve both facilities.</p> <p>The partners are willing to enter into an agreement for the development of the site as a whole, in phased tranches, with access from Frost Lane.</p> <p>It is considered that the positioning of the new road at a point that is immediately adjacent to the overspill car park will allow adequate sight lines to be created, both to the west and east for vehicles entering and leaving the site.</p> <p>The use of the surplus land to the east of the practice would be available for the provision of open space and allotments to make effective use of the relatively poor quality building land. This will allow the development of the better quality building land more efficiently and meet the needs of the Practice for expansion. Having the road on the Frost Lane frontage will allow all of the land adjacent to Fawley Road to be developed efficiently .</p> <p>The partners of the Seadown Veterinary Practice support the proposal of HYD1 subject to the above comments.</p>
693a	Rigler M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is one piece of land between the refinery and the town. Please leave it to nature and our children.
702a	North T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		We object to the proposed development at Forest Lodge Farm. It would be a complete eyesore and bring more traffic into the already built up area, but the wildlife would also suffer considerably. We often see green woodpeckers, buzzards etc. Last year there were even small deer.
714a	Paronio E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The left hand side of Fawley Road from Butts Ash to Hardley is semi-rural. It will destroy the ambiance of this area if a housing estate is built on the land close to the road.</p> <p>It would also have repercussions on the already busy and hazardous cross roads at Butts Ash.</p>
502b	Stride R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
911a	Smith B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
943bn	Ashley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	
947a	Chignell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
948a	Harris C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
949a	White J	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	This land is large enough to provide all the proposed housing requirements and would appear to have the least effect on the adjoining neighbourhood.
950a	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
951a	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	This would appear the most sensible site as it accommodates all the additional housing required, on a single site with access onto a good road and minimal impact on neighbouring property.
953a	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
968a	Jevon G	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Can offer far more housing than the other option.
1004a	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Provisions for schooling for children housed in this area will have to addressed. The local school (Waterside) has already full classes and the potential influx of more children into this area will require provision of more local school places which with the selling of the old primary school site a few years ago has put paid to. As this a very hilly and area of land, careful consideration of existing water courses should be considered. Under the Mineral Extraction Plan, won't this land be next to a quarry which severely impact the quality of life of the people living there?
1043m	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Though I have marked it 'Most preferred', it's only because I couldn't mark both 'least preferred'. I am concerned about the impact on existing houses in the area.
1045a	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	
1061a	Woolley and Wallis Representing Mr Ellis and Mr West	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The land at Forest Lodge Farm is a sustainable location for additional residential development, and is the only site identified in Hythe that can address the identified local needs for affordable and low-cost market housing detailed in Core Strategy Policy CS12.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD1, Land at Forest Lodge Farm</b>						
733a	Dickens J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	The area is desperate for new allotments. This would be a good place to offer some more for those waiting.
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
742i	RSPB	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The site is situated within approximately 75 metres of the Solent and Southampton Water SPA/Ramsar site. The redevelopment of the site for residential purposes has the potential to negatively affect the bird populations of the SPA and Ramsar sites due to increased urbanisation including increased recreational disturbance. A detailed study into the cumulative effects of recreational pressure on the Solent European sites is currently underway – the Solent Disturbance and Mitigation Project (SDMP). Until the results of this work are available, a precautionary approach should be taken in respect of residential development within close proximity to the Solent.</p> <p>Paragraph 17 of PPS1 – Delivering Sustainable Development prescribes “planning authorities should seek to enhance the environment as part of development proposals. Significant adverse impacts on the environment should be avoided and alternative options which might reduce or eliminate those impacts pursued...”. In accordance with the precautionary approach, we urge the Council to consider more appropriate locations for new housing development in the District.</p> <p>We note the conclusion, set out in Table 3.3 of the Habitats Regulations Assessment of Sites and Development Management DPD Consultation Document – Screening Statement (the HRA), that “providing mitigation recommendations followed” – there will be no “overall adverse impact on the site integrity”. The avoidance and mitigation measures recommended by the HRA are as follows;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Provision of open space and a natural play space for children within the development.</li> <li>• Provision of path providing direct access from the site to a large area of alternative natural greenspace (Tate’s Copse).</li> <li>• Formulation and secure delivery of Green Infrastructure Strategy for Hythe.</li> <li>• Mitigation of transport derived pollution through delivery of transport strategies and measures to ensure modal shift to alternative means.</li> </ul> <p>We consider that the potential impacts of Policy HYD2 on the international sites will need to be investigated as part of the appropriate assessment of the DPD. We are however concerned that, particularly ahead of the results of the SDMP, the mitigation measures identified may not be adequate to effectively mitigate the impact of the proposed development.</p>
888aj	Environment Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The site currently lies within Flood Zone 1, however the probability of flooding is set to increase with sea level rise. According to the PUSH SFRA, approximately half the site could be at risk from tidal flooding within the 100

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
-----	--------------	-------	----------	---------	---------------------------	----------

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane**

year lifetime of residential development a site specific FRA.

**Deliverability**  
 Unless there is a clear justification for locating development in areas at risk of flooding, this site should only be allocated if the sequential approach is taken and the proposed quantum of development can be accommodated in Flood Zone 1, based on the most up to date mapping and modelling information available. It should be acknowledged that the extent of flooding could increase with climate change.  
 Only if development can be justified within areas at risk of flooding, it must be demonstrated that the DPD is deliverable in line with PPS 12. Prior to allocating this site, we would therefore recommend that a simple preliminary assessment is undertaken to ensure that it is deliverable in the context of flood risk. This ideally should outline a range of control measures which could be employed to make the site safe, and identify a preferred option for managing risk. It will need to be demonstrated that this preferred option is feasible and deliverable (e.g. it is affordable, technically viable, will not conflict with national planning policy) before the site is allocated.  
 It must be demonstrated that the necessary measures:  
 - will not result in an increased level of flood risk to others  
 - have clear responsibilities for their provision  
 - have suitable arrangements in place for their future maintenance, where relevant.  
 If the proposed number of dwellings can be accommodated outside of Flood Zones 2 & 3 to the year 2115 (Mapset 1E of the PUSH SFRA), this work will not be necessary.

**Flood Risk Assessment**  
 At the planning application stage, a detailed site specific FRA must be undertaken, informed by and validating the above. The FRA should assess any significant sources of flood risk in detail, and inform the necessary control and mitigation measures that might be needed to manage risk both to and from the development to an acceptable (safe) level, considering climate change and addressing any residual risk issues.

**Flood risk reduction**  
 Opportunities should be sought to reduce flood risk wherever possible, in line with PPS 25.

**Surface water management**  
 Surface water drainage from new development must not increase flood risk either on-site or elsewhere. Government policy strongly encourages a sustainable drainage system (SUDS) approach to achieve these objectives.

316b Hythe & Dibden Parish Council

Objects.  
 Through not strictly a planning matter, the parish council has become aware that sections of the local

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						community have raised objections about the proposed development and the resultant loss of the small holding which is seen as an important community facility. The land is liable to flooding in some parts and poses a risk to the proposed development. There are concerns over access to the site. Vehicles leaving the site will be entering onto a designated HGV route close to a sharp bend and unmanned railway level crossing.
694d	McEvoy Cllr A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Could not support under any circumstances due to flooding issues and current use of the site which encourages contact between children and animals.
912b	Cox M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Use of this land should be prevented by DM6(vii). It is a valuable contribution to the community, much loved by generations of local children.
832c	Penny J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Land adjoining Frost Lane The site HYT-02 10: HYD2 is situated outside the built limits of Hythe, is located within 70m of a SPA/SAC/SSSI and a Rarnsar site, which the draft Sustainability Appraisal Annex 2 Background Paper 40 failed to acknowledge. The Sustainability Appraisal did however identify that the development could cause harm to the SAC/SPA, as well as harming the character of the countryside and being at risk from flooding. These significant constraints would suggest that this site is entirely inappropriate for development when considered against suitable alternative sites. In addition to this, the site is within 20m of the railway line which has implications for housing development in terms of noise impacts and other potential hazards. It is suggested that if the Council deem an additional requirement for 12 units necessary, then land at Beech Crescent should be included, and HYT-02 ID: HYD2 removed.
6a	Dean M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		We are concerned about the future of the animals that live in this field. It would be a great shame for the community if this field were to be lost. The children know all the animals by name and enjoy feeding the animals and stroking them.
3a	Neale J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is one of the last little pockets of Hythe where one can walk in a quiet unspoilt area which is something which should be treasured as an important amenity for the people of Hythe. 'Barry's farm' has been enjoyed by families for decades and would be a huge loss to the community. I am concerned about the welfare of the animals in the farm. Would the NFDC help in finding new homes for them or would the owner just be told to 'get rid of them'. The area is home to large amount of wildlife including deer, owls, woodpeckers, swallows, birds of prey and seabirds which come into the woodland such as little egrets. I am concerned that building over this land would

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>cause too much noise, disturbance and light pollution and drive the wildlife out.</p> <p>There is also the issue of parking which is already a problem when there are football events on the navy field. More housing would increase the number of cars and vehicles especially as many homes have more than one vehicle per family.</p> <p>Last of all this is an area which already becomes saturated with water during heavy or prolonged rain. If this area is concreted over would that have an adverse effect on the rest of the area surrounding this?</p>
5a	Whitlock B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Barry's field is a very important part of the community, as a child I was taken here by my parents to see and learn about the animals.</p> <p>To this day, many people in the community still use this free and accessible resource to provide fun and learning to both young and old.</p> <p>Also, there is a wealth of wildlife that inhabit this area, tawny owls, swallows, woodpeckers and goldcrest to name but a few of the rarer wild bird sights in this area.</p> <p>Every day more and more green areas are being covered in concrete and housing, with gardens no bigger than postage stamps and we are losing all the special places that provide escape from the every day grind.</p> <p>To the council this may just be a field, but to the community, it is part of our lives, please don't take it away, if not for us, for future generations to enjoy.</p>
24a	Edwards K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<p>This haven for animals, wild and others has been here for over 30 years and would be sadly missed by all if it was built on. We are aware of the need for housing, social and private, but also feel that there are many other areas which could be looked at. There are so many empty properties in the area which could be turned into more than adequate social housing.</p> <p>Shore road is continuously flooding after heavy rainfall, plus a busy railway line. Are these things that people are looking for with a property? We are sure the local deer population that often pass this way will agree that the added noise and traffic caused by building and a new housing estate, will also be an issue.</p> <p>Please think of the community before you make your final decision. It would be a shame for future generations to miss out on this haven for animals. Thank you!</p>
25a	Peach L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The land has for many years been used for recreational use by children and adults. Having run a local cub pack many years ago this space was used for recreation and training and still is. The sea scouts still use the area and it would be sadly missed. As a dog owner too its a lovely walk through the woods. The wildlife seen on the walks would be effected.</p>
77a	Bowden J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>This development should be objected to in the strongest possible terms as any change would destroy something</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						which could never be replaced. Any change in this area would remove the existing atmosphere by removing the animals and abolishing a facility which gives interest, pleasure and knowledge to generations of local people, especially the dozens of children who have been enjoying the scene for many years.
78b	Stride P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This site provides a sanctuary for animals and is prone to flooding. The shoreline is SSSI and building could affect this area. It is unsuitable for development. It would change the character of the area. There is enough housing available to buy and rent in Hythe. Mr Bevis has provided entertainment and learning for children. The general consensus is that the area should be left as it is.
100a	Staszynski U	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I feel that this site for housing is inappropriate because it is on a recognised flood plain. The uses to which it is now put are valuable, both as a green field site, and also being an animal sanctuary. It has ecological validity and an educational purpose. It is also much loved and visited by local adults and children, to meet the animals.
115a	Evison J and T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The farm and its animals give me and my partner no end of joy as we pass and feed them. It would be a very sad loss if we were to lose this field and animals for the forthcoming generation.
116a	Kettle R and A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The development of this site would have a detrimental impact on the road system, bearing in mind the level crossing and Hythe Marine Park are now having to use Frost Lane as a thoroughfare for their vehicles. It is beyond me why the Council want to build on every open space, what next - the park that is adjacent to this piece of lane? For many years my family have had lots of enjoyment feeding the animals and enjoying the surrounding area and now my grandchildren are experiencing the same like many other people. If this land is used for housing all this will be gone forever and will be sadly missed by everyone. Another point I would like to make is this land is liable to flooding so surely housing would be out of the question.
117a	Dudden P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Many generations of people go to see the animals each day. Children have always been encouraged to help if they so wish. My own children really enjoyed helping here and so does my granddaughter when she visits. The animals have always been looked after very well and it would be such a shame if it had to close down.
118a	Keogh M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is an interesting area with animals, a pond, the train line and a place where children and adults alike spend pleasant leisure time. We, the locals and indeed the many visitors we get, would be sad and disappointed to see it vanish!

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
119a	Fowler J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>This site has given us all much enjoyment during the past 20 years, my grandchildren have learnt much by feeding, milking and the general care of the animals. This is a unique place which is not found in many other areas so it should be protected.</p> <p>In losing these small plots of land we will end up just one big housing estate, which being on the edge of the New Forest we must avoid at all costs.</p>
120a	Johnson I	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The shoreline road will not be able to accommodate an increased volume of vehicular activity. It is very narrow and in some places is fit for single line traffic only. The shoreline road floods due to its parallel course with the Solent.</p> <p>What will happen to the animals owned by Mr Bevis? I take my grandchildren to see the animals. This experience enables children to develop into well behaved young people who have a respect for the animal world and the environment in which they find themselves.</p>
121a	Slattery D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing with huge concern that you are wishing to build on what is a local treasure, this field has given pleasure to many many people and feel that should you build in this area you are losing a truly valuable piece of local history and missing an opportunity for the local children to be educated.</p>
122a	Godfrey G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>This is a valued amenity to the area and would hate to see the animals replaced by housing. I took my children to see the animals and now I am taking my grandchildren to see them. Surely there are better sites for housing in the area.</p>
123a	Pearson S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>This site is not suitable for housing - it provides an excellent community benefit in the form of a farm which offers children and adults the chance to stroke feed and admire animals within Hythe.</p> <p>The field is often waterlogged and is very close to the shoreline. Shore Road itself is often flooded and with the rise in sea levels predicted for the future it would seem ridiculous to build houses in this potentially hazardous and vulnerable area.</p>
124a	Gibson TM	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I object to the proposal for the following reasons (not all of which are valid ground of objection for the purposes of planning regulations:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The land is vulnerable to flooding from both Southampton Water and from drainage from the higher ground behind it.</li> <li>2. The land has been leased for many years by Mr Nevis who keeps a menagerie of animals on it; this collection of animals has given great pleasure to the inhabitants of Hythe and their children over the years.</li> </ol>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
125a	Rose C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	<p>I have learnt from the owner of the Animal Farm that the site of the smallholding is up for possible closure as it is to be used for the building of housing. In addition I have learnt that two other sites are a possibility. Therefore I would like to ask to please consider the other two sites. One of these sites is behind the veterinary surgery on Frost Lane.</p> <p>The animal farm provides so much for the community that it would be a shame to lose it:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- the animals are educational, especially for children;</li> <li>- it gets families out of the house for a walk to feed the goats, ducks and chickens, this is a benefit considering the ongoing health issues; and</li> <li>- the farm is plainly fun.</li> </ul> <p>Also I understand that the smallholding is on a flood plain. Surely using another site would avoid the extra cost involved in preparing a flood plain for development.</p> <p>If planning permission was to be granted then surely the surrounding areas will increase with traffic, noise and there will be access issues, these problems will all start with the building of the houses.</p> <p>As you will be aware, current access to the dog walking woods, playing fields and playground is via the small road passing the farm.</p> <p>In addition to the above, what would happen to the farm animals once their safe haven has been destroyed? Furthermore what will happen to the surrounding wildlife.</p> <p>The signed petitions outline the opposition that there is to the possible closure of the farm. Please consider a different site and keep the wonderful animal farm as it is.</p>
126a	Tabenor L and G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>We understand that Barry's Field is being considered for possible housing development. Over the years we have taken our own children, foster children and children of our extended family and friends to see and feed the animals there. We still walk and feed the animals ourselves.</p> <p>Both old and young in the area would miss this facility considerably if it were to close.</p> <p>We question the site's suitability for housing on two counts:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The ground always seems to be wet, is it liable to flooding?</li> <li>2. Are the adjacent roads and lane to the sports ground capable of carrying the increased traffic?</li> </ol>
127a	Ransom B and M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Developing the site would be a mistake, not just because the children of the Waterside enjoy visiting it so much, but also because it is a notorious flood risk area. The cost of installing flood defences would not be viable and house insurance premiums would be so high that they would be off-putting to potential owners. We urge you to think again before making this decision.</p>
128a	Dyer L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I have had so many good memories down at Barry's Field. I have grown up with the field practically on my door</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>step. I go down there every day and enjoy myself whilst I help them put the animals away at night. This is like a tradition to me now. Do you really want to get rid of the field which keeps everybody's spirits up, when they are down.</p> <p>This field is not only for local people, but for generations of people that have grown up around this field and farm, people who now take their grandchildren down there as well. People travel from around the Waterside and Southampton to go to this field, it is well looked after and the animals are well kept.</p> <p>There are enough unoccupied houses in Hythe.</p>
129a	Dyer A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I understand that there is a proposal to close Barry's Field (Frost Lane) to enable more housing to be built. I am writing to say that I do not agree with this proposal.</p> <p>Barry's field has been an asset to the people of the waterside area for many, many years; generations have grown up with this farm there. I used to take my children there at weekends and during school holidays, now I take my grandchildren. I know of at least 3 people who take children with learning difficulties there. To see the smiles on these kids' faces is worth far more than any houses.</p> <p>This is about one of the only free places you can take children to without shelling out lots of money. Leave the field and farm alone. I am sure if I drove around the housing estates of Hythe and Dibden I could find plenty of unoccupied houses around. Why not put a compulsory purchase on those houses that have remained empty for years?</p> <p>Barry should be applauded for his work, not penalised.</p>
130a	Small E A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Please would you consider my grave misgivings regarding the proposal to use the land described above for building houses.</p> <p>Mr &amp; Mrs Barry &amp; Janis Beevis have given years of generous time and money to housing the animals there. The benefit and education of a great many people both locally and further afield is incalculable.</p> <p>For many years I have visited with my grandchildren and they have learned skills that are not easily obtained in general life. They have learned to clean, milk and care for the animals. Mr &amp; Mrs Beevis have also allowed the children to safely ride the donkeys, providing safety equipment to make this possible.</p> <p>The land is also prone to flooding and more houses would add to this problem.</p> <p>To deprive the local community of this valuable asset would be disastrous.</p>
131a	Goodwin P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>It is a great mistake to consider this small field to be suitable for future building for two very clear reasons. The first reason is the situation of the field which makes it very liable to flooding by storm water which was perfectly illustrated in this past week when the lower part was underwater. It's small size (less than 2 acres) makes any extensive drainage works uneconomic and in the long term ineffective because it is only slightly</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>above the present high tide level. With the certainty of rising tides in the future, no responsible authority should consider allowing building in such a situation.</p> <p>The second reason for not allowing building here is the amenity value for many local children, in this increasingly urban environment, of being involved with well husbanded farm animals of a variety of species. They cannot only look at them but become involved in caring for them and with the donkeys riding them. As the owners have a great love and extensive knowledge of animals (which as a retired veterinary surgeon I am well qualified to judge) many children gain pleasure and education of great value. Their experience is far better than they would gain from occasionally visiting, at some cost, a show farm.</p>
132a	Booth J and J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Whilst we are aware there is a need for affordable housing to allow our young people to remain living here we wonder if you are aware how wet and liable to flooding this field is.</p> <p>We have lived in Hythe now for nearly fifty years and have always known the lower end of the field, adjacent to the railway line, to be very wet and boggy. Furthermore should the sea, at high tide, encroach onto Shore Road more than it already does then the railway crossing adjacent to the field will allow for easy access for more water to flood in.</p>
149a	Martin R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I would hate to see the donkey sanctuary closed.
151a	Kierley M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Loads of toddlers get excitement from this field.</li> <li>- The elderly have their animal friends there.</li> <li>- The field is the animals' home, you can't take it away from them.</li> <li>- Where will the animals go to live?</li> <li>- Lots of parents love to feed the animals and talk to them.</li> <li>- The land is too boggy to the rear of the field, the animals can't live in Barry's garden for the rest of their lives.</li> <li>- People like going to Barry's Field for something to do and the good thing is that it is free. Barry loves to run the field, he gets up really early to feed the animals. He has got lots of animals, pigs, goats, chickens and more. He has been doing it for 37 years and he wants to do it for another 37 years.</li> </ul> <p>Do you want to waste all your money on houses instead of letting Barry run his fields. The pig George and the other animals love to be in the field. Your destroying their lives.</p>
152a	Wilkins J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. What is the point of building on a site which has major flooding problems already? Surely that would make the houses prone to flooding. If you built houses where will the animals go to live?</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>Secondly, football clubs train there, and if the houses were built, there could be a possibility that you would pay for parking and the amount of people attending would decrease rapidly.</p> <p>Next, the animals love it there and would not want to leave. Barry has owned this field for 37 years and has no intention of selling it. There is also a rare type of grass on the field (SSSI) that would be destroyed by building houses.</p> <p>Finally, the majority of people declare that Barry's Field should not be built on and there are alternatives to this site. We suggest that you do not continue any further and go for one of the other locations. I hope that you have listened to my views and take action in relation to them.</p>
153a	Standen O	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I'm writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. I am against the idea because: Why would you build somewhere that's prone to flooding. It's just useless because the houses would flood and you would have a lot of unhappy customers. Furthermore, the public will dislike you and why wreck a SSSI field with extremely rare grass on it.</p> <p>Surely, you would not wreck little kids' pleasures because they love visiting Barry's Field. Moreover, elderly people go and talk to the animals like their family, football teams train there plus it's free and attracts thousands of people. They would be sad to see it go.</p> <p>I hope you take my ideas into mind and don't build houses there.</p>
154a	William	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about plans to build on Barry's Field. Firstly, Barry's Field is a free attraction, in addition it is of comfort to old people and attracts thousands of people a year. Secondly it is located in a flood zone, so what is the point of building houses on there.</p> <p>I hope you take my points and agree with them.</p>
155a	Wolett	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, Barry's Field is free to go to so many people go there to feed the animals and love doing it, as I know because I am one of many people who does that. As well as that, elderly people go down there daily, to talk to the animals privately like they are members of the family. Do you really want to make the elderly people lonely? Secondly, it makes people happy, gets them out of the house and puts a smile on their faces. For example my three year old brother and myself come at least once every week. It would break both of our hearts if it was closed.</p> <p>The housing company say they are building lifetime homes or something but where will the animals go? Your building homes for people but you are destroying a well loved home which is loved by all the animals.</p> <p>Next, do the people who live behind the field have a say? I know as a fact that none of them want it too close. This is because they love the field, the noise of the work that might occur and local wildlife.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
Finally, why are you closing down land that everybody loves?						
156a	Wells D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, Barry's Field brings happiness to children. As well as children being happy it also brings joyous moments to the elderly as they have animals to talk to.</p> <p>Furthermore building houses may cause problems for sporting events down Shore Road. If houses are built it is possible that you might have to pay for parking.</p> <p>Barry's Field is not the only place you can build on. Why would you build on a place that brings happiness to so many people?</p> <p>I hope you have considered my views.</p>
157a	Farrant Z	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, many young people love to go there, to feed the animals and to play in the joyful park. If you take away the area you are destroying the charm for everyone to enjoy a hands on animal experience and joyful play. Do you really want this to happen?</p> <p>Secondly, a local football team play/train there and if you take away the land the football team would not be able to continue. This could mean they stay inside and play on video games. Will this turn into an addiction?</p> <p>Thirdly, this facility is prone to flooding as past years have shown. If this happens again the houses couldn't be built for a long time and the houses will be drenched. If you want to sell houses this wouldn't help.</p> <p>Next, there are elderly and lonely people living in houses behind. They love to come and talk to the animals. This is the only company they have, if you destroy the land you will destroy their hearts.</p> <p>In conclusion, you should keep Barry's Field the way it is and consider the other two sites to build the houses on.</p>
158a	Bye B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, myself and many other local people who go to the field to see the animals and feed them would be devastated to see it so as it is a free family attraction which is great for a weekend trip.</p> <p>Furthermore, many local football clubs go there to train rather than use the football centre as the centre is very expensive and the clubs can't afford to hike it.</p> <p>Deciding on this is your job, so I hope you might the right decision on these plans.</p>
159a	Carley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Personally I think Barry's Field should definitely stay as it is. The elderly need someone to talk to because they are lonely and unhappy.</p> <p>Secondly, where would all the animals go? They can't live in the back gardens of people's houses. Also, many</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						families love to come and see the weird but wonderful creatures e.g. Alpacas. Finally I hope you have taken into consideration my views of what you want to do with Barry's Field.
160a	Fearnley D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Personally I think Barry's Field should definitely stay as it is. The elderly need someone to talk to because they are lonely and unhappy. Secondly, where would all the animals go? They can't live in the back gardens of people's houses. Also, many families love to come and see the weird but wonderful creatures e.g. Alpacas. Finally I hope you have taken into consideration my views of what you want to do with Barry's Field.
161a	Chapman C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Firstly, I want Barry's Field to stay the way it is. Do you want crying children because there are no animals to feed or pet? Old people would not be able to talk to the animals either. Secondly, Barry's Field has been running for 37 years straight and is Barry's only fun thing to do now. Will you look after the animals? Please don't kill them. Furthermore Barry's Field is prone to flooding and boggy conditions, houses there will be a disaster. On the other side of the site there is a very rare grass that is not to be dug up. Lastly, do you want to take fun away from the children.
162a	Platford T N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, both young and elderly people get a lot of pleasure from the animals. How would you like it if your favourite place just disappeared? Secondly, what's the point in building housing on ground which will just flood in the winter? There is also a very rare type of grass growing there. In conclusion, I hope you take my views into account.
163a	Bassett R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, there is no point building house on Barry's Field as it's already known to be prone to flooding and all the houses will just get flooded. Secondly, the SSSI have found very rare grass on the field and you are just going to demolish it and build houses on it. Thirdly, the older generation who are lonely go there most days to talk to the animals and if you take it away you'll just make them lonely again and they will have nothing to live for. Lastly, the animals that live there will have nowhere to go and will have no one to take care of them. I hope that you don't go ahead with the idea and see that it is wrong too.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
164a	Webb L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>I think Barry should keep the field because for one he is renting it but sooner or later he could even buy it which means you will get a lot more money. This is a good thing and for two it gives a lot of pleasure to old, young and middle aged people.</p> <p>Thirdly, it is the only farm around here that is free and not many things are free these days. Also the younger generation will be disappointed and upset because they won't be able to see or speak to the animals if the farm is removed and replaced with houses.</p> <p>In conclusion, I think that Barry should keep his field as it is his hobby and life. I hope you have taken note of my words and taken them in mind.</p>
165a	Ferris L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>I think Barry should keep the field because for one he is renting it but sooner or later he could even buy it which means you will get a lot more money. This is a good thing and for two it gives a lot of pleasure to old, young and middle aged people.</p> <p>Thirdly, it is the only farm around here that is free and not many things are free these days. Also the younger generation will be disappointed and upset because they won't be able to see or speak to the animals if the farm is removed and replaced with houses.</p> <p>In conclusion, I think that Barry should keep his field as it is his hobby and life.</p>
166a	Scurr K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, Barry's Field is a place prone to flooding. If you build on the field it will flood most days when it rains in the winter and most people won't want to live there, consequently you will have to make the land flat and put a lot of drains everywhere.</p> <p>Secondly, houses to the south west of the site with people in them will not want to see houses because it will be a horrible view and you'll get lots of people complaining about it.</p>
167a	Dennis L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, Barry's Field is a loved place for young children because of all the animals. If the place gets built on the young children will not be very happy.</p> <p>Secondly, if they build houses there will be the danger of flooding and there is a rare grass on the land. How would you feel if it got built on?</p>
168a	Dominey B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>Firstly, Barry's Field is in a prone flooding zone in the winter or whenever it rains. This will make it extremely hard to construct houses on the site. Even when it is not raining it is still fairly boggy. Consequently, if the plans do go ahead it will be very hard work in a wet boggy environment.</p> <p>Secondly, on the site is rare grass on a SSSI, this is very rare and you would need special permission from the government to construct on it. This mere exercise will cost a fortune. Do you really want to spend so much money on a little site like this?</p> <p>Finally, where will the animals go? The animals have settled into the farm and are use to living there. Do you really want the animals moved to unfamiliar surroundings or even worse put down.</p> <p>I hope you take my views into account and take them to heart.</p>
169a	Burton O	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Barry's Field must stay because elderly people like talking to the animals. Also young people come to Barry's Field to learn about the animals, their habitats, what they eat and what their like.</p> <p>Secondly, people in the area of Barry's Field would have no place in the local area to see some animals and have fun on Barry's Field. Lastly Barry's Field has to stay otherwise people in the local area would not like it one bit.</p>
170a	Keates J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>I am for Barry's Field and lots of children, adults and also grandparents would like it to stay how it is.</p> <p>Furthermore Barry's Field has been running for thirty seven years straight.</p> <p>Secondly, what would you do with the animals if you do the building?</p> <p>Thirdly, do you think people living there would like their views being spoilt by new houses.</p> <p>Finally Barry's Field is prone to flooding.</p>
171a	Labram B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field.</p> <p>Firstly, young toddlers and children would be heartbroken to see their furry friends turn into people's homes. Once preschool has ended and at weekends, lots of parents take their young ones to feed the goats, pig, chickens and other feathered flyers. The children enjoy it, they love all the animals living there, they'd hate it if their friends' houses turned into other properties.</p> <p>Furthermore, the elderly have their place with the animals, the animals are their new partner in some cases. You may be like others and think 'they talk to animals, who cares' but they're lonely, they may have other friends to chat to; however, why would they be there?</p> <p>Moreover, the animals have rights too. The field is their home - where would they go? They can't live in Barry's back garden.</p> <p>Anyway the land is prone to flooding, building a housing estate on it would be a disaster.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
172a	Haddow A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, Barry's Field is prone to flooding. Surely, there are other sites to work on which must be in a better condition, than the field. What will happen to the animals? You can't just take them away. In addition the elderly enjoy the company of the animals. Finally the field has rare grass that is part of the SSSI research.
173a	Burnett R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, Barry should have the right to keep his field, people want Barry to keep his field. Where would all the animals go? I hope you have made your mind up. Keep the field.
174a	Grassick J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the plans to build houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, where will the animals go if you sold the field to builders? Secondly, a rare grass has been found at this field. Lastly, when it rains hard Barry's Field can flood. This could cause damage to the houses. In conclusion I don't think that you should build on Barry's Farm. It should be kept the same.
175a	Benn T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about the demolition of Barry's Field which most people in the community have been to or which people could see in the future. If you build on Barry's Field people will not be able to go and visit the wildlife. If you took this attraction away children would be unhappy because they can't see or feed the animals.
176a	Buchanan J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am regular visitor to Barry's Field. I think you should keep it as it is. The animals on Barry's Field don't mind the water as they will just stay out of the water. If the houses are built it will cause more floods and the houses will be wrecked and rebuilt. In conclusion I firmly think that Barry's Field should be left alone.
177a	Wright A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you to save Barry's Field. Barry's Field is home to the animals. Where would they all go? If you build houses on Barry's Field it would be dangerous for children to live there because there is a train track there. I can't see people wanting to go and visit the new houses.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						I hope you have listened to my opinions, everyone in my class wants the field to be saved from new houses.
178a	Baker M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you because I firmly disagree with the stupid idea of building houses on Barry's Field. Firstly, it would be a real shame to destroy the farm which has been so worthy for so many people. Many people like myself are wondering where will all the animals go? What's the point of taking away a free farm when the animals will go to a zoo where you have to pay to see them. Please take my thoughts into consideration because many people strongly agree that Barry's Farm should stay. Don't build on the farm.
179a	Charlton T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you in order to stop demolition of Barry's Field. I would like Barry's Field to be kept for the animals rather than houses. It is free if you go to Barry's Field, if you go to the zoo you have to pay. In the winter the field was flooded for several weeks. If houses were built then flooding would worsen. In addition with the train tracks the site wouldn't be safe. In conclusion there are other places to build the houses that don't have animals and would be good. Please consider my opinions.
180a	Semmens J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I strongly believe that you shouldn't build on Barry's Field. The community strongly believe that the field is an important part of Hythe. In addition the farm is free. You have to pay to see animals in the zoo. Please build the houses on another site. Please take my thoughts into consideration and don't build on the farm.
181a	Carter-Bailey J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I'm not very happy with the thought of losing Barry's Field. One reason for my opposition is that there is a railway next to Barry's Field. If the houses get built people, including children, will live close to the railway line. It's a accident waiting to happen. Secondly Barry's Field is at the heart of the community. We don't want to lose it. Last of all, there is a football team that play down the road that will be effected by the building if it happens. I think that the thought of building is devastating, from the football team to the safety reasons it's all a load of nonsense.
182a	Stone J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I live near Barry's Field and am concerned about Barry's Field being turned into a housing estate. Barry's Field it not appropriate for housing as it was originally marsh land and there has lately been flooding in the area. Barry's Field would not be suitable for a housing estate. In addition I am concerned about the train track, particularly when children from the proposed development are

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						likely to play outside. Why do you want to take away a part of the community that is respected and will be enjoyed by future generations? In conclusion there are two other places to build on, I hope you will take notice of my request.
183a	Ashworth G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to tell you why building houses on Barry's Field is a bad idea. Firstly, it has been known to flood. If you built houses on the field, they would start to subside. In addition, the development would be next to a railway crossing. This would be a danger for any children who lived in the new development. Lastly, taking away the farm would be like kicking someone out of their home. The animals will become homeless. In conclusion I hope you listen to my reasons.
184a	Sreekumar P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you about many people's concerns about building on Barry's Field. Firstly, many children who visit Barry's Field will miss it hugely if it is built upon. Furthermore, what will happen to the animals? Isn't it funny how people seem to worry all the time about human rights, but hardly ever animal rights? The land that people want to build on is boggy. The area probably does not have ideal drainage systems anyway. In addition there are other sites which animals do not rely on as their home. Finally , there is the danger of the nearby railway tracks for children living in the new houses. In conclusion I hope you take on board my thoughts and I await your reply.
185a	Maw R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am in favour of keeping Barry's Field. The first reason that I think you should not build on Barry's Field is that it has recently flooded. Replacing the grass with concrete will mean it will flood even more and make the new owners disappointed. Moreover this is a well known attraction. It is part of the community and it is free. There is a nearby train track to Barry's Field. This will not be safe for children living in the new houses. Barry's Field is home to several animals. Why is there no animals rights? Don't destroy homes to build homes just choose another site. I hope you have taken my views into consideration. Save the fields.
186a	Rosina	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing to you to stop housing being built on Barry's Field. The housing is not needed and the farm has been part of the community for over 30 years. Firstly, it would be a shame to take a free attraction away when the animals will just end up in a zoo where you

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>have to pay to see the animals.</p> <p>Secondly as well as the animals there is a need to think about safety. It would be extremely hard to fill in the pond and to stop landslides as this area is very muddy and boggy. It is also vulnerable to floods as it is near the sea.</p> <p>Children would miss Barry's Field if it was developed for houses. Nobody would want to come and see the houses.</p> <p>I hope you take in what I have written as it would be a shame to lose the farm.</p>
187a	Fisher B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you to negotiate about demolishing Barry's Field and putting houses on it.</p> <p>My first reason is where will people go to see these animals?</p> <p>Secondly, a strong percentage of the local area feel visiting the farm is a family tradition which is passed on from generation to generation.</p> <p>Thirdly, the farm is an important part of the community.</p> <p>I hope you take my views into consideration and reply.</p>
188a	Jearrad L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you to let you know that Barry's Field is not a building site, it is a farm where lots of animals have made a home.</p> <p>Secondly about 40 people a day come to see the animals. You will be destroying a place of fun and memories. People will not want to go and visit the new houses.</p> <p>Barry's Field is part of the community. By building upon it you would be sweeping away family fun.</p> <p>I hope you have taken in all I have said about saving Barry's Field and don't forget it.</p>
189a	Sanders C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to ask you not to build houses on Barry's Field in Hythe.</p> <p>Barry's Field has been there for more than 30 years and families have lots of fun visiting the animals. If there are houses built it will cause lots of traffic. Finally I would like to ask you if you could build houses on another piece of land that children don't visit.</p>
190a	Oakerbee B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you to give my opinion on building on Barry's Field. Firstly I am not happy about the safety for children as there is a train track nearby.</p> <p>Moreover the development of housing will demolish the farm and the animals will have to move elsewhere.</p> <p>Thirdly this construction may cause floods in this peaceful area.</p> <p>To sum up this idea is the wrong decision and I disapprove of it . This idea should stop now.</p>
191a	Bouchard A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I'm writing to complain about the possibility of you building on Barry's Field.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
-----	--------------	-------	----------	---------	---------------------------	----------

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane**

First of all I would like to state that Barry's Field is part of our community, if you build houses on Barry's Field you will be taking away a vital part of our community.  
 Many people have been going to Barry's Field throughout their life and now take their own children. Barry's Field is a part of our lives and a caring home for the animals . Why would you want to take away the animals' home and a piece of our happiness?  
 As well as the community's feelings consider the problems and the dangers there will be for people who might move there. One of the many problems is that flooding may occur as it has done because Barry's Field is on a marsh. The railway might also cause a problem for parents with children; a railway is a very dangerous place especially for young children. They might run on to the track and get badly hurt.  
 I truly hope that you have taken all my opinions into consideration and will have second thoughts about building on Barry's Field and choose to build somewhere else.

192a	Barnes E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to say that you should not build on Barry's Field.          Firstly, I'd like to say that the field is prone to flooding and can get very boggy. This will lead to problems for any houses that are built on Barry's Field.          In addition there is a railway track next to Barry's Field . If people moved to the site with their children there will be an accident involving a child and a train.          I fully understand the need for affordable housing and the council needing to save money but please not in such a precious place.          I hope you take my thoughts into consideration, I would be delighted to hear from you again.</p>
------	----------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	---

193a	Morris C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to explain why you shouldn't build on Barry's Field on Shore Road.          Firstly it is a well known and loved place in Hythe. People of all ages visit the farm and it has an educational role for those visiting the farm.          Moreover the area is near train tracks which would be dangerous for children. Traffic would also increase as a result of the development. If the amount of traffic increases then the amount of accidents will rise especially near the railway.          I know that the British public is in need of housing but surely there is a more suitable place which is not a sanctuary for homeless animals. It is also only a meter or so above water level. This makes the area prone to flooding. Are you proposing to build on previous marshland?          I really hope you take everything I've said into account and one last question. Do you care about what happens to the innocent animals? Barry will be devastated. I will await your reply.</p>
------	----------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	---

194a	Carr A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I live in Dibden near Barry's Field. I am not happy about you building on Barry's Field.
------	--------	--------------------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------	--	--

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>Firstly, where will the animals go if you destroy Barry's Field.</p> <p>You have two other sites that you can build on and they are just plain fields, they don't have a purpose whereas Barry's Farm does have a reason. It's not just a field, it's a home for living animals and a free animal sanctuary for people in the local area to visit.</p> <p>In addition to the above Barry's Field is very likely to flood as it has done in the past. It is fine for animals if the field floods, it would not be good for humans living there though.</p> <p>Moreover there is also the railway nearby. It would be very unsafe for children going around there to take a short cut to school.</p> <p>I would like to conclude my letter by saying I hope you take my points and ideas into consideration as I very strongly agree that Barry's Farm should stay as Barry's Farm.</p>
195a	Bates T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to you to save Barry's Field from being built on.</p> <p>Firstly, I am against you building on Barry's Field. Where will the animals go?</p> <p>Furthermore, Barry's Field is located on marshlands. It will not be a good place to build houses. The drainage is poor.</p>
196a	Sellwood E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I'm complaining about the council wanting to build houses over Barry's Field.</p> <p>If houses do get built on Barry's Field where will the animals go. If they go to a zoo you will have to pay to visit them.</p> <p>If the houses do get built at Barry's Field there won't be much room for houses therefore they shouldn't be built. I hope you take my advice and reply.</p>
197a	Foreman B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am a pupil from Orchard Junior School and lots of us have deep feelings for the farm. A large majority are against building on Barry's Farm. It means the world to Barry and to us. When I was young my mum used to take me there all the time because it was free and the animals enjoy the company. As it wasn't far away we could visit daily.</p> <p>The poor animals will be homeless and may not be re-housed. These animals help children learn names of animals and the way of life.</p> <p>The people of Dibden thoroughly understand that your main priority is to build some new houses but this piece of land is close to marshland. It would be hard to build on. People in the houses may not be able to sleep because there is a train nearby.</p> <p>Build on other possible locations.</p> <p>Over 30 people a day visit the farm, why would you take that away from us?</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
198a	Keable T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am a year six pupil at Orchard Junior School. I am writing to tell you not to build on Barry's field. I understand the need to build houses but why do you have to build where Barry's field is? Why don't you build somewhere else?</p> <p>The place will be sadly missed, everyone knows the farm and wants to keep it. There has to be a different solution.</p> <p>All the animals have a right to live there and everyone in the local area loves it and enjoys it and it is part of the community.</p> <p>We all love it so save the farm for the animals and for us! I hope you don't build houses here and I hope you listen to my ideas!</p>
199a	Herding E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I am writing to tell you why you shouldn't build on Barry's farm.</p> <p>Barry's farm is very popular because everyday there is an estimated number of 30 or 40 people go to the farm. In Orchard we met Barry and he said that if his farm was taken away from him he would be devastated and he doesn't know what he is going to do with his animals.</p> <p>It isn't just a field. It's a home to living animals. If you take the farm away it would be like taking a house away from humans. Why would you do that?</p> <p>Choose a different site to build on. If you build on Barry's farm, all who visit the farm won't want to say "lets go and see the houses" will they?</p>
200a	Chadwick A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I go to Orchard Junior School. I'm writing to you about taking away Barry's field.</p> <p>Hundreds of people love visiting the field to feed and see the animals and lots of children enjoy watching the animals eat. You would be taking all this joy away from them. Older children love watching the baby animals running around and playing.</p> <p>Barry's field is on a marsh. It would be harder to build on it and I don't think mothers would like it if they sent children out to play and then they came back in covered with mud and drenched to the skin! Do you still think that it's a good idea?</p> <p>Barry and many, many other people would hate to see it go . I talked to Barry and he couldn't even talk about what would happen if his farm was to go. He has been there for 30 to 40 years and is please to see that so many people love watching his animals as they grow up. Do you want to make all these people sad and unhappy?</p> <p>Please don't build on Barry's field. Do you see now why Barry's field should stay? Me and a high percentage of other people are begging you to build somewhere else. There are other places and I hope you build there instead.</p>
201a	Mort A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am a pupil at Orchard Junior School. I am writing to save the local attraction of Barry's field.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>I think Barry's field does not deserve to go. Hundreds of children enjoy feeding the animals and go to the farm. If Barry's field goes I think it would be such a loss for future generations. I personally think if the farm is taken away, many locals will disagree with your future plans.</p> <p>I think we are not taking into account how the animals would react to their new habitat. It's funny how we always worry about human rights, but we never take into consideration how animals might feel.</p> <p>Also the amount of animals that Barry looks after is quite a lot. The difference between a wonderful estate where affordable homes and loved and cared for animals is quite huge. If a housing estate is built, there are some reasons it could be dangerous.</p> <p>It could be dangerous because there is a local train track that runs through the road, therefore it could be very dangerous for young children. Why would you want to build on such an important part of land? Have you even considered how Barry feels?</p>
202a	Miller C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I disagree with the fact that you might build houses on Barry's field.</p> <p>Barry's field is a great place to go to. It is part of the community and it would swipe our memories of it if you closed it down. Where else will people go to see farm animals for free? Children go there to see animals not to see houses, it brings them joy to feed the animals. It is a great experience because if you want to be a vet when you are older then you can learn a lot from the field.</p> <p>Barry's field is right next to a train track and it will be extremely dangerous for young children if they live in the newly built houses. It floods a lot in the field and houses will get flooded which will wreck them.</p> <p>I hope you choose another site so Barry's isn't closed down and the animals aren't moved somewhere else.</p>
203a	Harman R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>You shouldn't build houses on Barry's field. It isn't just a field. It is a home for living creatures as the field has chickens, ducks, donkeys, geese, alpacas, a goat and a pot belly pig called George. If you build there where are you going to put all the animals? There isn't another home for them.</p> <p>This is such a shame, Barry gives all these animals second chances. Everyone in Hythe and Dibden visits the farm to see the animals with their families. Hythe and Dibden will not be the same without the farm.</p> <p>In addition, there is also a football field around the back of it and a play area for young children to have fun and play about. Also, even teenagers can have fun because Hythe and Dibden have an under 16s football club, so on the way to football training they will be able to see the animals.</p> <p>Why would you take it away?</p>
204a	Newbury R J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Loved by many Barry's field has developed over the years into a popular attraction to over 200,000 specimens, young and old in our local community. It is free of charge to visit; many families take advantage of this as you are also allowed to feed some of the animals; a majority of younger children can learn there a hands on experience</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>of feeding and petting animals (much to their delight), and urge their parents regularly to take them there. Would you really like to cause devastation to the local community just by building houses there?! I would be appalled if you even considered it!</p> <p>The facility contains many friendly species of animals: donkeys, ducks, chickens, goats. Taking the animals into account, where would they go if you built there? Would you destroy them for your precious housing company or your fragile economy?! None of them would do harm to you! Also, all of them have a different character (they are not nonchalant at all): George the pig isn't particularly inquisitive, but if you need a willing friend he's always there. Please, take a moment to stop and think about the elders that visit the field too. Abandoned and alone, to them the field is a peaceful sanctuary where they can talk to somebody who's ears will swivel towards and take pity over.</p> <p>Barry's field is prone to flooding? Turning into a bog when it rains. Why would this land be suitable for constructional plans if all the houses would be flooded? - customers have rights to make a serious complaint. In spite of your continuous plans to build on Barry's field, you do not seem to realise there are alternative sites where the public would be perhaps less disturbed.</p> <p>I urge you to think carefully about my points and observe what happens to people's opinions from your point of view. Imagine what could happen to your reputation if somebody didn't stop and fling hints in your direction....</p>
205a	Taylor D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I and many other people from the local area agree that Barry's field is an admirable place; it is free to go to; furthermore over the 37 years it has been open to the public about 200,000 people have visited that one field. Do you really want to build houses on such a people friendly field?</p> <p>Having been there myself I realise that Barry's field is a place for all generations. Barry's field is prone to flooding. Old people who are lonely go there to talk to the animals.</p> <p>Furthermore, football team train there, there isn't much parking as it is. So if you build houses there will be even less parking. At Longdown Dairy Farm you have to pay. When you go to Barry's field you do not have to pay. I hope you don't build on Barry's field.</p>
206a	Precious E L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Barry should have the right to keep his field as it is, because loads of children love seeing all the animals, and also they even get the chance to interact with them, e.g. feed them! Where would all the animals go?</p> <p>A massive amount of people have been there, over the 37 years more than 200,000 people have been there; besides, it's free!</p> <p>The people who live behind the field have this wonderful view of animals when they wake up, but if the field has some houses on it, what would they wake up to - more houses (which would ruin their view.)</p>
207a	Knight-Owen T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Barry's field has been a popular local attraction for 37 years. Especially children love it because they get to feed</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						and touch the animals without going far for the journey. The locals love helping on the farm and caring for the animals. Would you want to take away the happiness from both young and old just for a few houses?
208a	Farr R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry's field should stay because everyone enjoys talking to the animals especially elderly people! It helps toddlers and young people understand animals in their own habitats, also they enjoy touching and feeding them. If you build houses, where would the animals go? Would they get killed? How would that make you feel? If you build houses where Barry's field is people will start protesting even more than now. That would be embarrassing wouldn't it?
209a	Geary S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry should have the right to keep his field as people from different locations love to arrive to look; moreover, talk to the beautiful, lovely animals! Would people who live behind the field like the field to disappear. Nobody would even come to the area if you change it. Disappearing the animals would never get to stay with Barry. Barry wouldn't have any place to keep them. Children love feeding and petting the animals. Barry should be allowed to buy the field as he doesn't own it himself. Although I'm not liking the idea of it going, the country is gaining more people; moreover they need a house. The younger generation would love going to field; it brings joy to everyone and they will at least need to go see it. Moreover, old people go down and chat to the animals. Exactly why do you want to put houses in a place of joy? Nobody would be happy without Barry's field.
210a	Luke C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am writing about the plans to build on Barry's field. Where would all the animals go if you sold the land for development? The animals would not have a home will they? The SSSI have discovered rare grasses in the area! Some actions, it rains hard so it has a probability of flooding and the owners of the house won't be happy. I think we should not build on the land and keep it the way it is.
211a	Lockwood C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry should have the right to keep his field as people from different locations love to arrive and look; moreover talk to the lovely, beautiful animals. Let Barry's field stay as little children love to come and feed some of the precious animals too! Would you want to let people down by building houses on the farm? People love to come and help out with the loveable farm. Barry's field is free. If you lost the field you would have to pay a lot of money to see just a few animals. Some people don't have a lot of money, so they will have to save up again to see a few animals, when you could go for free.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						Where will all the animals go? You can't sell them because they are his animals and its up to him to sell them. Older people if they are lonely like to come and talk to the animals and like to say they are part of the family and friends.
212a	Sweeney C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry's passionate about the field and elderly people go there to talk to the animals about family. Also they talk to the animals as if they are part of the family. You would be building houses on the animal's home and if you do build on the land where would the animals go? Furthermore, if it closes no one will be happy and that means no one will buy the houses. The noise of building will disturb the families that live in the houses behind the field. As a fact the field floods during the winter months. Young children enjoy feeding the animals and it brings joy to people and gets them out of the house.
213a	Jarman A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Concerns that development could create additional traffic. This could cause a hazard. Also, this is not an ideal place for development as it is adjacent to a railway track.
214a	Marcham M A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This has given fun for children for many years. Also this area gets very wet and therefore is not suitable for houses to be built on. Doctors, dentists and services are stretched to the limit with the huge increase of properties over the years. More houses will increase the problem. We should not allow green sites to be built on - what next - the forest itself?
215a	Seward H	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry's field is good for the community.
216a	Mead L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		My sister and I help out with Barry to put the animals away at night. We feed them and milk the goat and I enjoy it very much.
217a	Bailey G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This land should not be built on. It is good for the children to see and get used to the animals. There are not many pieces of land where animals can be kept and it is a shame to build on every bit of spare ground. Children come from far away to feed and play with the animals.
218a	Goodison-Barak V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Very concerned about flood risk. Have flood risk assessments been carried out or are they planned in the near future? Of the new properties proposed, are any rental or once again will our young people be priced out of the market?

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
219a	Penny K D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This smallholding has been a free attraction for residents and tourists for (I believe) decades. It was a favourite push buggy walk as a child or since and always believed would be for my children. I strongly oppose this development and would protest to protect it as it is.
220a	Mead C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		My sister and I help out at the farm. We help put the animals away, feed them and milk the goat. It's good experience, especially for me as I am hoping to be a vet. It would be a shame if the farm was built on.
221a	Clark R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry's Field is an invaluable green space used by 100's of families throughout the community. It would be a travesty to see such a community resource and piece of Hythe's heritage disappear. Barry's Field needs long term preservation for the community. I do appreciate the need for housing, just not on this site.
222a	Brett M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This land has exceptional amenity value. The animals are a great attraction for young and old alike and it would present a great loss to the community as it is quite unique.
223a	Whitlock W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Barry's Sanctuary is for use of local and visitors and should not be used for housing.
224a	Hall C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		To lose the amenity of Barry's Field for only 12 houses seems too high a cost to the community.
225a	Henderson J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This small holding is a place of interest to visitors and small children. Small pockets of green land are important to keep as such.
226a	Summers D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Why? This site has been an integral part of Hythe's community and today remains an invaluable aspect of family life. I am concerned for the impact on the infrastructure and local amenities. Surely there are brownfield sites that could be generated. It will be a sad day if this is allowed!
227a	Pearson E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		We need open space for future generations. This farm has been used for many years with mothers/babies to grandparents bringing their families to share the openness and wildlife. There is a bluebell lane backing onto this land which would be destroyed.
228a	Coombes R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Already too much traffic Frost Lane and Jones Lane, land floods regularly, 'farm' has been on site for more than 30 years and has become part of the community and will the oak trees be damaged? Other more suitable sites are available.
229a	Bosworth W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This site is too small and intrusive for what is proposed. It also demolishes a much-loved local amenity.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
230a	Larke A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is a great amenity for the children o the area and adults. The increased road traffic would make elderly people using mobility scooters to reach Hythe Centre extremely difficult. Surely just to build 12 houses does not merit this proposal. Could not schools have access to this amenity - natural history, farming. Also, area extremely wet and problem with drainage.
231a	Beare A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This area is an attractive amenity, linking the SSSI on the foreshore with the wooded area at the rear of the site. The animals provide life and interest. The proximity of Southampton Water and very high tides will result in flood damage to any buildings erected on this site.
232a	Stanbrook P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Please protect the land at the bottom of Frost Lane. The joy the animals at present on the site have delighted the children in the area for many generations.
233a	Coppack E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This should be retained as a green space and not be built upon. HYD2 is a very important green space used as a small animals sanctuary. It is much visited by families, in fact it has provided a very pleasurable experience for generations of children and their families. It provides a significant destination focal point for walks - a no-cost experience of contact with animals in a natural setting - a very important lift experience for children. With rising sea levels not a good housing area.
234a	Wheeler M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I live at Cormorant Drive adjoining the animal farm. I do not want the building application to go ahead because of the flood risk. The land is so boggy and in 50-100 years the water will be coming up onto the building land if you build. I don't think that the bend by the train gates could take anymore traffic and I feel that this could make Frost Lane very dangerous. I am also horrified that you would be taking the farm away, the amount of families who feed the ducks, donkey etc. is vast. People have been going there for generations, its free to go there and the owner is always helpful with information.
235a	Barnes K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I am against building on this land as it gets waterlogged. The sea is getting nearer each year. Frost Lane is busy now and cannot take all the lorries and other traffic building would involve. Also the area is mainly bungalows and building houses is not in character with surrounding dwellings. Also, many children enjoy going to see the animals on this site.
236a	Dolding H	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Quite unsuitable for housing development and not in character with the neighbouring area. Apparent from

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						reservations listed suitable drains, road and other improvements to the area should be included as a first pre-requisite. Too much pressure already on green areas, in short supply, and see no point in making this worse.
237c	Howlett C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This area is not suitable for development - provides enjoyment, recreation, joy for huge numbers of people. Only 12 houses proposed on this area - on balance there is no contest. The character of Hythe is optimised by this smallholding and should be retained as such.
238a	Nunn G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I totally object to building on this land. The man who looks after the animals on this land does a wonderful job and it would be most unfair to build on the land.
239a	Wilson K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The animals in Frost Lane have been there for many years. It's where generations have taken their children which is free and enjoyable. Taking this away from the area will cause upset and all will be left is memoire rather than being able to take the next generation down. Having lunch in the park, running around and topping the day off to go and see these wonderful animals is all children/adults I know want. It is also a learning development. I know I have learnt a lot from here.
240a	Dyer C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This area is used to provide social activity for many families. The dog park reduces the amount of dog fouling around the local streets. I feel disappointed that the local council would consider this plan again.
242a	Wilson S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The animals down at the farm at Frost Lane give so much joy to many children, a lot of children do not get to go out for day trips which cost a lot, we pack up a picnic and some food for the animals between the park and farm we send hours down on the weekends school holiday. I have never not seen anyone not at the animal park as it is very popular.
243b	Vinters J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		There are problems with flooding, drainage, sewers. Proposed housing would only make these matters very much worse.
243a	Vinters J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		There are problems with flooding, drainage, sewers. Proposed housing would only make these matters very much worse.
244b	Monger S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		More housing will only contribute to the all ready problem of overcrowding. The farm animals here, have been a place to visit for years by adults and children. It's a place that is needed by the community.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
245b	Askham P	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is a potentially good site, my only observation would be the need for a play area as the playing fields are so nearby.
246a	Wade A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	I feel that this site would result in an overdevelopment, which would be out of keeping with the local area. Also the area could be at risk of flooding. The road is not suitable for pedestrians considering this is for family social housing. The road is already a busy access point for the marine park. Also, a valuable green area with huge value within Hythe. The mini animal area is very popular with residents and we wish to keep it.
247a	George L	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I have to admit that there is a sentimental aspect to my disagreement. I know generations of Hythe families that have taken their children, then grandchildren to Barry's Field. Of course affordable housing is important but so too is community spirit and the animals and birds kept on this site help to cement this.
256a	Jevon C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		'Barry's Field' is a popular and much valued asset for the community. It's coastal location with the nearby park and woodland facilities (which would be impacted upon) create both recreational and educational opportunities for teaching local children about nature and feeding/caring for animals. It would be a poor example to set them if we allowed this haven for animals to be destroyed to make way for development that could be situated elsewhere with less environmental impact.
261a	Wigfield F	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I oppose the inclusion of this proposal in the Plan because of: Flood risk on the site generally, short term and longer term. Flood risk on Shore Road, the "most likely" access to Hythe centre and Impact on "Barry's Farm" which, although not having official status is a de facto worthwhile local amenity.
300a	Green S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This comment refers to the proposal to utilise "Barry's Field" at the bottom of Frost Lane in Hythe. I feel the site is unsuitable due to, A. This field is currently used by a very community minded individual who has over the past 35 years by housing a variety of animals and poultry, provided a great deal of pleasure, scholastic opportunities and entertainment for local inhabitants. He has offered this opportunity free of charge and there would be a number of children that without this chance perhaps would not have been able to enjoy the experience of being close to animals and the outdoor life. B. The possibility of flooding which will only become more of a risk with the further onset of global warming. C. Access to the site is dangerous. It is VERY close to a tight bend in the road from Shore Road and also almost on

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						<p>top of the railway crossing.</p> <p>D. There would also be significant disruption to local wildlife including pipistrelle bats, owls, hedgehogs and squirrels.</p> <p>E. Additional housing would create greater pressure of access and parking for the Navy Football Field which is not only used by football teams but children of all ages, local schools, dog walkers and there will shortly be an outside gym which will attract a significant number of additional cars.</p>
301a	Smith Ian and Judy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>I feel the site is unsuitable due to,</p> <p>A. This field is currently used by a very community minded individual who has over the past 35 years by housing a variety of animals and poultry, provided a great deal of pleasure, scholastic opportunities and entertainment for local inhabitants. He has offered this opportunity free of charge and there would be a number of children that without this chance perhaps would not have been able to enjoy the experience of being close to animals and the outdoor life.</p> <p>B. The possibility of flooding which will only become more of a risk with the further onset of global warming.</p> <p>C. Access to the site is dangerous. It is VERY close to a tight bend in the road from Shore Road and also almost on top of the railway crossing.</p> <p>D. There would also be significant disruption to local wildlife including pipistrelle bats, owls, hedgehogs and squirrels.</p> <p>E. Additional housing would create greater pressure of access and parking for the Navy Football Field which is not only used by football teams but children of all ages, local schools, dog walkers and there will shortly be an outside gym which will attract a significant number of additional cars.</p>
327a	Whittington P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>Whilst I understand the need for more houses for local people I do feel there should be some responsibility regarding the quality of those homes and where they are situated. I am particularly disappointed in councillors who waste time and money contemplating, yet again, the ground known as Barrie's Field, when all the reasons it was found to be unsuitable just a few years ago, still exist. Situated so close to a level crossing must be a real danger. There is more danger from the tidal marsh and creeks opposite, where surveys report the water rising higher each year. Hythe being on the flood plan predicts the area could be completely flooded in less than one hundred years. Gaining a mortgage on such properties has been difficult in other flood plain areas and insurance impossible, so why are councillors contemplating the seemingly ridiculous? Generations of people have also enjoyed the access to animals which Barrie Bevis provides for them on this land; people who look forward to bringing their own children to enjoy this educational delight. I hope I can look forward to this plan being discontinued and my confidence in councillors restored.</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
374b	Downer F	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The land in Frost Lane where the animals are kept is unsuitable as it floods. I also feel the animals are important for the children in Hythe. They need the stimulation of feeding them and as it is close to park it gives children something to do which is free and as you know they are refurbishing the park so all the residents feel it is necessary to keep. There is also a petition going. There are other areas which are better near the vets (HYD1).
693b	Rigler M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
714b	Paronio E	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This land is a much loved and used local amenity with no cost to the Council. It should be encouraged not threatened with housing. The exit onto Frost Lane is dangerous, there is a railway crossing directly to the left then a blind bend. There is no main bus route for people with no transport.
502a	Stride R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This site provides a sanctuary for animals and is prone to flooding. The shoreline is SSSI and building could affect this area. It is unsuitable for development. It would change the character of the area. There is enough housing available to buy and rent in Hythe. Mr Bevis has provided entertainment and learning for children. The general consensus is that the area should be left as it is.
764a	Dolding M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Concerned about flooding, especially when sea levels rise because of climate change. Concerned about impacts on the adjacent bluebell wood and increase in traffic. Also, the loss of the animal sanctuary facility would be a tragedy.
827b	Wallbridge G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
836a	George T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This land has been in long-established private and public use for recreational and nature conservation purposes, but this aspect is not even mentioned in the Public Consultation Document, despite evident conflict with several Core Strategy Policies: CS1, CS2, CS3 and CS7.
856b	Ronchi F	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The field floods badly. There is a lot of traffic that may not have been taken into account - people playing football matches and walking dogs. New Forest DC workers (at the adjacent compound) are in and out all day, not always driving slowly. A lot of lorries use Shore Road. There is no need for a play area as there is a play area at Shore Road playing fields. There is no public transport to the site. Extra people walking to the town centre along Shore Road would mean additional maintenance of pavements needed.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
911b	Smith B	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	It will mean the loss of a much appreciated private small farm which is provided without cost for the benefit of small children locally. I doubt if there is any family living locally that has not at some time taken their small children to 'feed the animals' at Frost Lane, in my case three generations. There surely has to be an alternative. Your proposal to return passenger rail travel to the 'Fawley Flyer' line would have a very detrimental effect to any proposed housing development, with noise pollution.
920a	Nutland W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	If waterside railway is to be re-instated, this land is too close to the railway line. The bends in the road, level crossing and corner by crossing limits the visibility for drivers and pedestrians. It would be a great loss to the community to lose the animals on the land there, this is an invaluable resource for the community and has provided enjoyable experiences for families for many years at no cost.
932a	Brown S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	The proposed site at the current Barry Farm is ridiculous. It is within the possible flood plain, would inhibit children and adults usage of both the popular farm and the navy field. Would cause major road disruption onto Frost Lane and close to the level crossing. No nearby local amenities. The area is already over populated. There is the threat of Hythe hospital closure along with possible surgery closures, insufficient schools. If, as suggested, for 'social housing' long way from local shops and schools and a dangerous area so close to the train line and shore-line. Would be a complete blot on the landscape for local people and would completely ruin any views. The farm in particular is a very popular stop off for local and visiting children. It would be a sad day if this was to close after the years it has been there. Whilst I appreciate there is a pressing need for social housing it needs to be in a sensible location for the residents who would live there and this is, in my opinion, not suitable.
943bo	Ashley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	1	Infrastructure would be more amenable to this site
946a	Hall R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	
947b	Chignell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	
948b	Harris C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Small site would not provide sufficient homes. Area likely to be subject to flooding. Area currently used for stock - a real gem of great value to the community.
949b	White J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Any access road to this development would come out onto a narrow part of Frost Lane and would be close to a sharp bend. This field is waterlogged for most of the winter months and is already near to the flood plain. With the projected increase in sea level due to global warming, there is an increased risk of flooding. Building on this field would be a great loss to the community. The animals are visited by a huge number of people to whom they

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						give a lot of pleasure. The children of Hythe would be deprived of a much loved and educational attraction.
950b	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	Strongly object to the use of this land for housing development. The existing use as a smallholding for a number of animals is an integral part of the community providing enjoyment for hundreds of families who come to feed and enjoy the animals and should remain so. The loss of the smallholding would be a great loss to the community
951b	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	This houses a much loved animal sanctuary, visited by hundreds of people of all ages throughout the year, which would be sorely missed. Also, with projected higher sea levels over future years, it is likely to become subject to flooding - the field is already waterlogged for most of the winter months as the water table is so close to the surface - I know because my garden is adjacent to the field and is virtually "liquid" throughout the winter. Plus, additional traffic would have to access Frost Lane, which is not the best of roads, at a point close to a sharp bend with the potential for accidents.
952a	Ms Phillips	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	This is a valuable community resource, which has been enjoyed by local families for many years. I visited regularly with my children (now 30 and 32) and with children from the New Forest Opportunity Group (pre-school group for children with special needs). It is vital that this wonderful oasis is preserved for future generations, not least my grandson.
953b	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	I strongly object to this for several reasons: 1) Animals currently provide good local facility for small children - many families in the area enjoy it and offer Barry their full support 2) Traffic - traffic would be increased and is busy enough at present - there are often accidents at the lower part of Frost Lane and increased turning into this small road would increase likelihood of more accidents. 3) Waterside school is the local catchment school for this road and is currently at capacity - where would the children of these new houses go to school? 4) Access to the navy field would be inevitably congested especially during periods when football matches are on etc. 5) Speed limit on this road is a 30 which is rarely observed - this would present more opportunity for increased pedestrian / car collisions. 6) Not enough housing would be incorporated here, so no need to put housing here when the other areas suggested can incorporate the full housing requirement.
968b	Jevon G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	'Barry's Field' is a much too important resource for the community to lose when other sites are available.
1004b	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	As this land is already in use as "Barry's Farm", the area contributes to the "Quality of Life of those in a Built Up Area" as quoted in this documents paragraph 2.30 - Green Infrastructure. The land is also below the local sewerage, and liable to flooding. This land should be sold to allow a permanent place for the farm. Provisions

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 2, Land adjoining Frost Lane</b>						
						for schooling for children housed in this area will have to addressed. The local school (Waterside) has already full classes and the potential influx of more children into this area will require provision of more local school places which with the selling of the old primary school site a few years ago has put paid to.
1043n	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	I feel concerned about the loss of the current use of this land.
1045b	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	
1061b	Woolley and Wallis Representing Mr Ellis and Mr West	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	
733b	Dickens J	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	2	This site is a local amenity. It is used by a vast number of people in the local area. It is used by old and young alike. The animals provide interest and for some they are therapeutic. This area has been known as Barrys Field for many years. Much of the field regularly floods , so it is a good land use as it is. Rescue animals in the sanctuary are well cared for. The housing above this area is supplied with PRIVATE sewers. We are always paying out to have the sewers unblocked. They are already at capacity. Adding more housing will exacerbate the conditions of the sewers above the proposed development and the cost will fall on those householders who live up stream. The increase in traffic will make it more dangerous for old and young to walk into Hythe. There is already one section without a foot path and another with a railway crossing.(right next to the proposed development site. Across the Shore Road is an area of scientific interest ,a haven for masses of sea bir ds. Noise from the development and increased traffic is bound to have a detrimental effect on the wild life. There are many open spaces more conducive to housing development . Some one from the council needs to spend just one hour at the weekend to see just how deprived the local community will be if Barrys farm is removed. The amount of traffic on a busy corner next to a level crossing makes for an accident waiting to happen.

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 3, Land off Cabot Drive, Dibden**

738o	Natural England	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Potential impact on New Forest SAC, SPA and Ramsar sites. The site is within 400m, where impacts are most difficult to avoid. This will require full Appropriate Assessment if proposed as part of the DPD.
316c	Hythe & Dibden Parish	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The site lies within a heavily built up area with very little visual open space. The loss of this green lung would be

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 3, Land off Cabot Drive, Dibden</b>						
	Council					detrimental to the existing residents.
912c	Cox M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
78c	Stride P	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
219c	Penny K D	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Contrary to the 'NIMBY' premises, this site is closest to my home and is an area suitable for more affordable housing. Traffic and amenities are suitable and reflects the infrastructure of the area. Very fitting affordable housing.
223b	Whitlock W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Not enough thought goes into children's play areas.
246b	Wade A	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Overdevelopment, no main roads so build up of traffic. Already lots of issues as two main schools sandwich this area so there will be plenty of children walking around and there are already major traffic issues. Loss of pleasant green area.
370a	Stone D	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is an area that gives a breath of fresh space and rural outlook that is sadly disappearing in the district. Why does it always have to be green open spaces that are taken. Since 1966/67 I have been shocked at green space i.e. fields, woodlands, etc that we have lost under housing. My grandchildren are our future and you leave them nothing. Why? The school there should be protected as well as it does a good job and I am proud of what they do.
603a	Maitland C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I object to the proposal to use HYD3 as a possible site for residential development. My property backs onto this site and at the time of the building of the Forest Education Centre I was given, in writing, assurance from Ken Thorber, Leader of Hampshire County Council and the Chairman of the County Council's Asset Management Sub-Committee that - " the County Council will not seek to dispose of the remaining part of the site" Also I was given a Hampshire County Council site plan showing the aforementioned site as a " field retained for grazing". Are New Forest District aware of these promises made to residents? As this would be a blatant disregard to Hampshire County Council policy, and an abuse of trust of local residents. The proposal also does not mention the type of housing – private or social. Or the type of dwellings to be built. Nor are there any details as to the proximity to existing boundaries and the inevitable invasion of privacy of established properties. I also have not received any direct communication from New Forest District Council despite any development obviously affecting my property.

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 3, Land off Cabot Drive, Dibden</b>						
						I am deeply dismayed that this already built-up area is being viewed as suitable for even further development and that assurances made to local residents by Hampshire County Council are being disregarded. I hope New Forest District Council will reconsider.
684b	Williams P	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>When the land was originally donated to the Council in a will, the instruction was given that it was to be used for educational purposes. The proposed plan does mention that 'some of the site will be retained for educational authority development' however, the majority use is going against those wishes, you are cashing in from the land you could otherwise not have had.</p> <p>The main sewerage pipes from houses in Canterbury Drive run through the field, the pipes block regularly which the residents require access to maintain. Access will be affected if the land is built on and cause long term problems.</p> <p>What type of screening will be provided on the boundaries, and will this block the sunlight which the properties currently have. The properties are all south facing which is a beneficial feature people buying property seek. Will the properties be overlooked by the new development.</p> <p>The access from Cabot Drive, in our opinion, is very limited as the street is currently used for road side parking for the residents in the street, an increased volume of traffic will be a problem for safety and parking.</p>
714c	Paronio E	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		If a school was intended to be built here then it obviously has all the qualifications for housing.
908a	Osborne I	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>This area of land was allocated for a school in the local plan, because it was left to the council for educational purposes. Housing is not educational purposes.</p> <p>More housing in this area will further burden the roads in an area that already has a high through traffic flow. More housing in this area will further burden an area that has minimal local facilities.</p> <p>I would much rather this area was developed with facilities for the benefit of the local community rather than further housing if this area must be developed at all.</p>
911c	Smith B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
943bq	Ashley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
950c	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951c	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 3, Land off Cabot Drive, Dibden</b>						
953c	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1004d	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Ideally for development
1009a	Axton M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		I believe that the use of this land was well discussed and documented in 2001 before you allowed the siting of the school facility there. I believe that this land was willed to the council for educational purposes and as such should not be considered for any other use.
1043o	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045c	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Firstly, no current residents were informed of this intention to build. I live on Canterbury Drive and back on to this patch of land. Secondly, I believe this patch of land was gifted to the council for use of Educational Purposes, I do not believe that houses fulfil this requirement. I am deeply concerned that the Bypass is already struggling to cope with traffic and the area is largely built up. The construction of more homes in this plot would cause my house price to drop greatly, as my home would become overlooked. I purchased my house with the understanding that the land would not be built on for dwellings but instead, only for an educational structure. I would like some sort of explanation as to why no residents were informed of this and why the council deems it OK to build dwellings on a plot that was not intended for dwellings.

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD4, Land between Jones Lane and Southampton Road, Hythe**

316d	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Parish Council agrees with the proposal to include the land fronting Southampton Road as employment land.
24c	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
911d	Smith B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		With the proviso that it does not mean the loss of the School Road allotments.
943br	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This is under-developed so makes good sense
950d	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951d	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
953d	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD4, Land between Jones Lane and Southampton Road, Hythe</b>						
1004e	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Plan Ref HYD4 should be used for housing as the existing properties there are unsuitable for employment and would have to be redeveloped anyway.
1043p	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045d	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD5, Town centre boundaries</b>						
316e	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The Parish Council agrees with the proposals carried forward from the Local Plan however there could be an amendment which seeks to encourage more retail use than the high level of hairdressing units which are prevalent within the village centre.
24d	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
950e	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951e	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
953e	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1004f	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		The pier and boat yards in St John's Street are not really part of the "town centre".
1043q	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045e	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 6, Town Centre Opportunity Sites</b>						
742j	RSPB	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>The following town centre sites are situated within 400 metres of the Solent and Southampton Water SPA/Ramsar site:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Land in vicinity of St. John's Street Car Park</li> <li>2. Pier Head Buildings</li> </ol> <p>Development on the above sites has the potential to negatively affect the bird populations of the SPA and Ramsar site due to disturbance from construction, traffic, urbanisation and to increased opportunities for recreational disturbance. We are however particularly concerned with Site 2 – Pier Head Buildings, which immediately adjoins the European sites.</p> <p>Paragraph 17 of PPS1 – Delivering Sustainable Development prescribes “planning authorities should seek to enhance the environment as part of development proposals. Significant adverse impacts on the environment should be avoided and alternative options which might reduce or eliminate those impacts pursued...”. In accordance with the precautionary approach, we would therefore urge the Council to consider more appropriate locations for new development in the District.</p> <p>We acknowledge work that has been done in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of Sites and Development Management DPD Consultation Document – Screening Statement to appraise the impact of development in these locations and we welcome the mitigation measures that have been identified in Table 3.3. We are however concerned the measures identified relate solely to transport derived pollution and do not consider the potential impact of development in terms of noise disturbance or potential increased opportunities for recreational disturbance.</p> <p>A detailed study into the cumulative effects of recreational pressure on the Solent European sites is currently underway – the Solent Disturbance and Mitigation Project (SDMP). Until the results of this work are available, we consider that policies which could facilitate access to the designated sites are inappropriate and a precautionary approach should be taken.</p> <p>We are concerned that, particularly ahead of the results of the SDMP, the measures identified may not be adequate to effectively mitigate the impact of the proposed development. We therefore consider that in the absence of a thorough evaluation of the impact of HYD8 – Site 2 on the Natural 2000 sites or the identification of targeted mitigation measures, it may not be possible to demonstrate that the Policy will not negatively impact the integrity of the European Sites.</p>
316f	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Parish Council agrees with proposals outlined in HYD6
692u	Hampshire CC - Env't	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		As Highways Authority the County Council notes that the proposals for Hythe town centre only refers to the

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 6, Town Centre Opportunity Sites</b>						
	Dept					opportunities for the pierhead buildings. The County Council's Executive Member for Environment decided on 26th January 2011 that "consideration should be given to introducing a new land safeguarding for the proposed transport interchange at Ferry Yard, Hythe". The County Council is keen to work with the District Council in considering these proposals.
24e	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Ref 1 - Land in Vicinity of St John's Street Car Park:
24f	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Ref 2 - Pier Head Buildings:
218b	Goodison-Barak V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Very concerned about flood risk.
573s	Rutland C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Policy HYD6 relating to Hythe Town Centre should emphasise the opportunity to create further employment opportunities in the form of offices at first floor level on an either/or basis, i.e. either it can be used for residential or it can be used for office. These then can flux as the Market changes.
943bs	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
946b	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD6.2:
946c	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD6.3: an important site which needs very careful improvement for ferry users, bus users, taxi users, pedestrians, cyclists
950f	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951f	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
953f	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1004g	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Hythe does not need any new shops, any new developments will ending degrading the quality of the shops already in the vicinity.
1043r	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045f	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
-----	--------------	-------	----------	---------	---------------------------	----------

---

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 6, Town Centre Opportunity Sites**

---

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD7, Car Park extensions**

---

316g	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Parish Council agrees with proposals outlined in HYD7
24g	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		a and b:
920b	Nutland W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Part b: Wouldn't (b) be a better place for the railway station.
943bt	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
950g	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951g	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
953g	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1004h	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Hythe does not need any further car parking spaces as the car parks are hardly ever used to capacity
1043s	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045g	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

---

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD8, Hollybank Crescent Local Shopping Frontage**

---

316h	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
24h	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
236b	Dolding H	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Needed to support the (aged) population.
502c	Stride R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD8, Hollybank Crescent Local Shopping Frontage</b>						
764b	Dolding M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		This shopping area should be retained.
943bu	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
950h	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951h	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
953h	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1004i	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1043t	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045h	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 9, Public Open Space Proposals</b>						
766b	Esso Petroleum	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD9a: Half of this land is owned by Esso. Esso are currently negotiating a lease with Hythe TC to lease this land to them for community use. However, if this does not work we do not want to see the land become derelict and we reserve the right to return the land to pony grazing. We will remain the owner of this land and are not transferring ownership to HTC.
988a	Fawley Parish Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD9a: This is a proposal to use the 2 fields in Fawley Parish, south of the Netley View Estate (where the B & L social club was) as Public Open Space for Hythe Parish. I propose we strongly object to this because, why should Fawley Parish supply Open Space for Hythe Parish, when they have been allowed to build on areas within their Parish which should have been allocated for this purpose. We in Fawley are restricted to where we can build, thus depriving us of much needed Rate Revenue, so to balance this out perhaps these fields should be built on for private affordable residential housing, in place of the 3 greenfield sites in Blackfield.
316l	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Agree with both HYD9a and b

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD 9, Public Open Space Proposals</b>						
912e	Cox M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD9a and b:
24i	Edwards K	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD9a and b:
764c	Dolding M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
943bv	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
950i	Mitchell T	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
951i	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
953i	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1004j	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1043u	Goddard G	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
1045i	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD10, Transport Schemes</b>						
742k	RSPB	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>HYD10.2: Policy HYD10.2 proposes improvements to the ferry/bus interchange area. The improvements include “a footpath link through to the Promenade (requiring the construction of a boardwalk)”. The route of the new footpath immediately adjoins the Solent and Southampton Water SPA/Ramsar site. The proposed footpath has the potential to negatively affect the bird populations of the SPA and Ramsar site due to increased opportunities for recreational disturbance.</p> <p>A detailed study into the cumulative effects of recreational pressure on the Solent European sites is currently underway – the Solent Disturbance and Mitigation Project (SDMP). Until the results of this work are available, we consider that policies which could facilitate access to the designated sites are inappropriate and a precautionary approach should be taken.</p> <p>Paragraph 17 of PPS1 – Delivering Sustainable Development prescribes “planning authorities should seek to enhance the environment as part of development proposals. Significant adverse impacts on the environment should be avoided and alternative options which might reduce or eliminate those impacts pursued...”.</p> <p>In accordance with the precautionary approach, we urge the Council to consider a more appropriate location or route for the proposed footpath.</p> <p>We acknowledge the work that has been done in the Habitats Regulations Assessment of Sites and Development Management DPD Consultation Document – Screening Statement to appraise the impact of the proposed footpath and we welcome the mitigation measures that have been identified in Table 3.3, which comprise “boardwalk to be constructed outside the affected area in a manner which does not affect the site” and “barrier between promenade and affected site”. We are however concerned that the measures identified may not be adequate to effectively mitigate the impact of the proposed developments. We are particularly concerned that no details have been provided on the nature of the proposed barrier between the promenade and the European Sites and what level of maintenance will be in place to ensure its integrity. The RSPB therefore considers that the potential impacts of the proposed footpath on the international sites will need to be investigated as part of the appropriate assessment of the DPD.</p> <p>However, particularly ahead of the results of the SDMP, we are very concerned that in this location immediately adjoining the European Sites, the impact of the development is likely to be such that it may not be possible to conclude no adverse effect on the integrity of the European Sites.</p>
888ak	Environment Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		<p>HYD10.2: Some aspects of the proposed improvements at the Pier Head may require the prior written consent of the Environment Agency under the terms of the Southern Region Land Drainage and Sea Defence Byelaws. There is not currently sufficient information to determine whether or not this is the case. We would therefore request</p>

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD10, Transport Schemes</b>						
that further details are provided as proposals are progressed.						
888al	Environment Agency	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7: The proposed footpath reconstruction is adjacent to the North Dibden Stream, designated as main river. Any works within 8 metres of the top of the bank may therefore require the prior written consent of the Environment Agency under the terms of the Southern Region Land Drainage and Sea Defence Byelaws.
316j	Hythe & Dibden Parish Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Agree with HYD10,1, HYD10.2, HYD10.3, HYD10.4, HYD10.5, HYD10.6, HYD10.7
912f	Cox M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
912g	Cox M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
912h	Cox M	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
24l	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
24j	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
24k	Edwards K	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
237a	Howlett C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Disagree about extra money into cycle paths - agree if plenty of money but present roads are suitable for all users. If money spare for paths this should be diverted into retaining discounts for Hythe ferry.
911f	Smith B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
911e	Smith B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
911g	Smith B	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
920g	Nutland W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
920e	Nutland W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD10, Transport Schemes</b>						
920d	Nutland W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
920c	Nutland W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
920f	Nutland W	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
932d	Brown S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:
932c	Brown S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
932b	Brown S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
932e	Brown S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
943cc	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7: HYD10.7 and HYD10.1 are excellent schemes and will offer significant local improvements
943cb	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
943ca	Ashley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:
943bz	Ashley S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:
943by	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
943bx	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
943bw	Ashley S	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1: HYD10.7 and HYD10.1 are excellent schemes and will offer significant local improvements
946d	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
946i	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
946f	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD10, Transport Schemes</b>						
946h	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:
946g	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:
946e	Hall R	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
950j	Mitchell T	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
951p	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
951k	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
951j	White C	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
951m	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:
951o	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
951l	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
951n	White C	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:
952b	Ms Phillips	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
953j	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
953k	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
953l	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
953p	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
953o	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
953n	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD10, Transport Schemes</b>						
953m	Belson V	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:
1004k	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.1:
1004p	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.6:
1004o	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.5:
1004n	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.4:
1004q	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.7:
1004l	Belson N	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.2:
1004m	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		HYD10.3:
1043v	Goddard G	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		
1045j	Pottle N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD, Other Hythe Comments**

920h	Nutland W	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Transport: Land off Hythe library car park would provide a better location for a railway station.
932f	Brown S	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Development Principles: I am appalled that this area is being looked at for further housing. It's an already over populated area with little infrastructure, insufficient local amenities, and poor transport structure. The main A326 is vastly over stretched as it is and to introduce yet even more traffic is non-sensible. There is also very little opportunities of jobs, Fawley oil refinery being the only major player. With Council cutbacks to services this is going to endanger an already over stretched community for all types of service to children and adults of all ages. There is absolutely nothing to entertain the young, we don't have a strong police presence and if they remove the Hythe ambulance service meaning they have to come all the way from Southampton - well the consequences of that would be dire.
946j	Hall R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Transport:

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD, Other Hythe Comments</b>						
						The re-opening of the railway line for passenger use is essential for assisting development and attractiveness of area but particularly reducing car travel and traffic along A326. Development of new stations and adjacent car parking and cycle parking must go ahead asap.
946k	Hall R	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Transport: The current cycle route along Shore road and Frost lane is pathetic involving only signing and paint! Where special coloured surfaces were laid these have mostly broken up and so need to be avoided by cyclists, making conditions worse than before the route was signed. The speed limit along Shore Road should also be reduced to 30mph (from current 40mph) to try to make cycling safer along this route.
953q	Belson V	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Transport: Would not be interested in reinstatement of train line due to increased noise levels in rural environment and public transport costs do little to compensate for their green value.
1004r	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Transport: The cycle routes already provided from Hythe along Shore Road and Frost Lane have been a complete waste of money. No more cyclists have used the route and the excessive signage and clutter along the verges has detracted from the area. The coloured tarmac that is laid to warn of the non-existent cyclists is now breaking up. The cycle route along Fawley Road is ignored by the cyclists as they prefer to ride on the road. The above proposed routes should be all off-road allowing children to ride in safety and not compromise the already over crowded roads.
1009b	Axton M	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Consultation Process: These "suggestions" regarding new use of land were not notified to anyone in this community until very recently, not giving anyone here a chance to attend the consultation meeting on 23rd February 2011.

**Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD-S, Other Hythe Sites**

877b	Southampton City Council	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Dibden Bay: The Plan should acknowledge the potential of Dibden Bay to accommodate major port expansion as in the Core Strategy and the Port Masterplan. The Plan should safeguard land at Dibden Bay to prevent it from being developed for non-port proposals.
692x	Hampshire CC - Env't	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>		Hampshire County Council objects to the omission of West Shore House, West Street, Hythe from the Council's

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD-S, Other Hythe Sites</b>						
Dept	<p>draft Sites and Development Management DPD.</p> <p>This site is currently in operational use as County Council offices, but the existing building is not considered to be fit for purpose in meeting the requirements for modern day office accommodation. Therefore, the site is likely to become surplus to the operational requirements of the County Council within the current Plan period.</p>					
886a	Associated British Ports	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<p>ABP notes with some considerable concern that the Sites DPD does not identify the Port of Southampton 's strategic land reserve, commonly referred to as Dibden Bay, for port and port related development. It is in fact essential that the Sites DPD does so identify Dibden Bay if, having regard to the relevant tests, it is not to fail on the grounds of being unsound.</p> <p>ABP has prepared and, following consultation with stakeholders (including NFDC) and the public, has adopted a Port of Southampton Master Plan, which provides robust and credible evidence to support the conclusion that market conditions will require expansion of the Port onto Dibden Bay some time between 2021 and 2027. Produced in conformity with national policy and in accordance with published guidance, the Master Plan has to be given weight in the plan making process.</p> <p>The Sites DPD needs to reflect both the consequences of the confirmation given in the Core Strategy (paragraph 9.15) that Dibden Bay is the only area of land physically capable of accommodating significant expansion of the Port, and the consequences of the conclusions given by the market evidence provided in the Port Master Plan. The future use of Dibden for the expansion of the Port of Southampton cannot be left to be covered simply by the wording that is provided in the Core Strategy. This is inadequate coverage for such a significant issue.</p> <p>Port development at Dibden would self-evidently be likely to have significant implications for the effective planning and development of the surrounding area. The preferred form of any future development at Dibden will need to pay regard to considerations that should rightly be identified in the Sites DPD. This is so that those considerations can be publicly debated, given status accorded by inclusion in an approved element of the development plan, and inform the basis for subsequent studies of the most appropriate form of port development and associated infrastructure. The Port Master Plan shows that this work will need to begin and intensify well within the time horizon of the Sites DPD. Contrary to the view that has been expressed to us separately by the Council, there is a clear basis within the Core Strategy for identifying Dibden Bay for port and port related development in the Sites DPD. Furthermore in th is respect, regard will also need to be had of the emerging work being undertaken in respect of Hampshire's Minerals and Waste DPD.</p>	

ID:	Representor:	Agree	Disagree	No View	Pref. No. (sites only)	Comments
<b>Consultation Document Policy ID and Name: HYD-S, Other Hythe Sites</b>						
1004c	Belson N	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	HYD4:	The Plan Ref HYD4 should be used for housing as the existing properties there are unsuitable for employment and would have to be redeveloped anyway.